



MINUTES - ANUSA ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING (OGM) 2 2021

Monday, 2 August 2021 6:15r

6:15pm, Multimodal (Graneek Room, Chifley Library and via

Zoom)

Minute Takers: Max C, Isha S, Meg M

Join Zoom Meeting https://anu.zoom.us/j/81084375916?pwd=RFISZmpnaWpUV3ExcXc4Tkk3cUZKdz09

Meeting ID: 810 8437 5916 Password: 659430

Item 1: Meeting Opens and Apologies

- 1.1 Acknowledgement of Country: Meeting opens at 6.21pm, acknowledges traditional custodians of the land
- 1.2 Apologies:
- 1.3 Chair outlines standing orders for the meeting:

Item 2: Passing the previous meetings minutes:

This item passes.

Item 3: Reports

3.1 Treasurer's report (S.J. Law) [Reference A]
Not much on Jin's report, just the expenditure (not including Bush Week which will be published on the next report) 710 responses for the Night Café survey, everyone should fill it out to solidify this!

Mover: Katchmirr Seconder: Niam

Outcome: Passes

Item 4: Elections

4.1 Probity Officer elections – filling casual vacancy

Motion to suspend standing orders to allow each of the nominees to speak to their nomination. t

Mover: Niam

This motion passes!

-

Nominees:

- Felix Friedlander (no conflicts to declare): Not much to say, been a probity officer twice in the past with an even-handed approach.
- Adeer Siddiqi (no conflicts to declare); Stands with survivors. Wants to stand for and promote accessibility for members to vote and be in the election and to maintain electoral regulation
- Rebecca Donald-Willson (Previous Probity Officer (2018 2020), Woroni Radio Tech Officer (2018 – Present)); Has been Probity before and would like to help out again.
- Chamika Fonseka (Education Director and Acting VP of ANU ISD, resident at Lena Karmel Lodge, President of ANU Sri Lankan Students' Association, Executive Committee of the ANU Positive Peace Society, ANU PPE Society). 2nd year PPE student and from Sri Lanka, it is extremely important to have an election conducted with the election regulations. It ensures that it has faith in the institution. Wants to speak for international students on campus and remotely. He believes there are structural issues for international students and POC, wants a fair and just election.

Q: How will you be able to ensure that election is conducted in a fair way given that there are students overseas?

A (Felix): Conducted election based on online polling, ANUSA has been online first, constitution does mandate that in person voting is provided. That is a less of a serious concern. Electoral engagement is a major issue but he thinks that it is out of probity's mandate. If candidates and tickets choose to target their campaign for people on campus, it is hard for them to completely enforce them. There is an increase emphasis on online campaigning such as Facebook advertising and videos. Think there is not much Probity can do to do this and thinks that it is in their best interest to make it accessible.

A (Adeer): Understands what its like to be away from home and thinks it is a major issue and he brings this perspective. Recommending events to people off campus. Adeer would like to be inclusive and egalitarian to help struggling students.

A (Bec): Probity's responsibility to this is not entirely related to this, there were similar circumstances last year. She does say that there was a lot more engagement on social media. Thinks that Probity did a good job and making sure that tickets were still abiding by the regulations.

A (Chamika): Thinks that the problem due to engagement is the perspective of students on ANUSA from international students. Online campaigning is important but not entirely related to Probity. Says that tickets should make an effort to use social media, I.e. WeChat for Chinese students. This could be a challenge for Probity though so that these students are not harassed.

Q: How many probity electors are we electing?

A (Meg): At least three, a minimum of three, no cap on the amount of officers.

Motion that the candidates be voted en bloc.

Mover: Sinead. Outcome: Passes

Vote to confirm the appointment of each of the candidates took place.

Outcome: all confirmed.

Item 4: Motions on Notice

Procedural motion: Madhu would like to move motions 4.9 and 5.1 to the top of our next items.

Motion 4.9: Budget

Motion to move \$25,000 from the O-Week Budget line to the Salary and Wages line [refer to budget in Appendix B].

Mover: Madhumitha Janagaraja

- Money is for increase in case load, migration issues and wage theft.
- Need few hours for legal service and leave (due to their rights), association is at their busiest.
- End of Financial Year is the most busy time of the year, governance requirements and also October/November students seek the most help
- Due to COVID FNP has not been able to go ahead which means this money can be shifted. Hopefully we will see where we can reallocate that, a brief summary.

Seconder: Siang Jin Law: Nothing to say.

No speakers for or against.

Madhu does not exercise her right to reply.

Outcome: Passes

Motion 5.1:

CW: Sexual assault and harassment; institutional betrayal

Preamble:

On August 1st, 2017, the Australian Human Rights Commission handed down their "Change the Course" report about SASH at universities across Australia. ANU ranked as one of the least safe universities in the nation, and ever since then ANUSA and the ANU Women's Department have been advocating for improving prevention and support services on campus.

The Broken Promises report has been constructed to represent the scale of the ANU's failure. Dozens of recommendations continue to go unfulfilled, and it is critical for survivors and future ANU students that they are quickly and effectively actioned. Adequate resourcing from the ANU is critical to ensuring this outcome, and the failure of the ANU is unacceptable. Survivors deserve support from the institution that is meant to protect them, and every day that promises are not kept, current students suffer, and future students are put at risk.

On August 1st, 2021, the ANU Women's Department held its fourth protest to the ANU's lacklustre response since 2017. Support for the protest was immense, and continued activism and advocacy on this issue is critical going forward.

Motion:

- 1. ANUSA stands in solidarity with survivors, and resolutely condemns the ANU for its inaction after 4 years of student activism.
- 2. ANUSA supports the efforts of the Women's Department to advocate for better SASH prevention and support services at the ANU, particularly as outlined in the Broken Promises report.
- 3. ANUSA accepts the Broken Promises report and supports its use as a document for continued advocacy, as well as its related petition.

^

(<u>https://anuwomensdepartmentorg.files.wordpress.com/2021/08/broken-promises-</u>2021-1.pdf)

4. ANUSA calls upon all its members, and particularly the 2021 SRC, to sign the petition before its delivery to the ANU: http://chng.it/tQJ6P4QMcQ

Mover: Avan Daruwalla:

- Thank you for coming to the protests, one of the best turnouts ever and sends a strong message to the ANU
- Lots of signatures on the petititon also

Seconder: Madhu Janagaraja

- Does not exercise speaking rights

Speakers against: None

Speakers for: None

Avan does not exercise right of reply

Outcome: passes

Motion 4.1: Action on National Health Co-op

Preamble

On the 21st of June, the National Health Co-op (NHC) announced that it was entering voluntary administration, which calls into question how the health service at ANU will be run into the future. In 2017 ANU outsourced its health service to the NHC, which was justified at the time with the promise of an expansion of services, including psychology, that has not been fulfilled.

ANU's health service being outsourced has meant that it's subject to competition on the market for health care, as the NHC, despite being a registered charity, has to compete with private billing clinics. Now that the NHC has gone into administration, it's possible that its ANU clinic may be taken over by a private practice, but this is no solution for staff and students. Unless the ANU steps in to run the services directly there's nothing to prevent the same thing from happening again.

Outsourcing lessens the quality of healthcare and the rights of workers. If the university is willing to spend x amount, and then accepts bids from private providers claiming to be able to do more for that same amount, the results will inevitably be downward pressure on wages, and workers performing more labour for the same or less compensation.

ANU has so far refused to confirm whether they will take over the ANU clinic and run it directly, and if they do, they haven't ruled out outsourcing it at a later date.

Motion

- ANUSA endorses the campaign demanding the ANU take over the National Health Coop's ANU clinic and run the health service directly again.
- 2. ANUSA condemns ANU management for outsourcing the health service in the first place.
- 3. ANUSA recognises that it's in the best interests of staff and patients for the ANU to run the service directly. ANUSA is against any future outsourcing of healthcare at ANU.
- 4. ANUSA endorses the petition that has been written in consultation with staff at the clinic (https://www.megaphone.org.au/petitions/save-the-anu-health-service) and will encourage students to sign by sharing it:
 - a. On its Facebook page
 - b. In its email newsletter
- 5. The Education Committee will promote the petition through:
 - a. Organising weekly poster runs

- b. Stalls and social media promo
- c. Having the petition available on ANUSA stalls and at ANUSA events such as universal lunch hours and free breakfast.

Mover: Grace Carter:

- Important to exercise, including staff and student help in 2017 in the context of Union Court, all these services to be promised I.e. walk-in-clinic.
- This has been an unmitigated disaster.
- ANUSA should endorse this campaign, needing a decent health care service and no to out-sourcing.

Seconder: Yeran:

- Important to put this in the context, survey and class cuts, different departments understaffed, cut costs and to be a bit more profitable.
- Possible for ANU to take it over and could be just as easy as selling it to another company

Amendment to the motion to add the following:

6 ANUSA recognise the importance of intersectionality in this campaign and that marginalised students are disproportionately affected by inadequate health care services on campus

7 The ANUSA Education Committee and all relevant bodies in this campaign will consult with all ANUSA autonomous departments regarding the specific needs of marginalised students in health care.

Mover: Vincent:

- My community is disproportionately affected by the health care, need to support marginalised communities, should not leave out this campaign especially since international students cannot access health care on campus, queer students cannot access PREP
- Don't understand why we are not supporting this.

A: Reason I am against, not should be a requisite to support workers rights. It is a question of outsourcing, health services should have everything that students need to be included.

F: If this campaign is about the health of students, students and disabilities and departments should have access. Should be a requisitie for departments to have access to the campaign, will still vote. Amendment needs to be passed as disabled students will be disproportionately affected.

A: Wanted to reach out and involve as many people as important but should not be a requisite. Don't think that a campaign should happen or not based on the departments, this is an important issue against outsourcing, having campus run service. Not very adequate maternity leave but if ANU ran the health service there would be maternity leave. Would like a university run health service, should not be a pre-requisite for department consultancy to run a campaign.

F: Would vote for the motion regardless but disagree with the framing of this amendment regarding being a pre-requisite, it is made sure that departments are respected. Without these amendments, departments are not consulted. Should consult the departments no matter what, not should be an unfriendly amendment. Indigenous department is left out of consultation especially regarding indigenous access to health care, very little support for this access.

Procedural moved by Chido for more speakers.

This procedural passes.

F: A black woman shared for you her experiences with her studies, people in this department care, how dare you try and make this unfriendly. One moment black people matter, then they don't. We represent marginalised communities and department members live like this everyday.

All about us both of us working together, black people are not your pawns, how dare you dare us to make us secondary based on how much you wish

A: I am for this motion and I think that it is insane how the university has behaved due to outsourcing. To respond to the previous speaker, the departments are not the self-appointed members for the repressed, some are against the motion, some are for the market. Identity is not a politics, clear through the campaign that it is left wing and for people. It is good for the departments to help out with this, with the interest to help out with health care.

F: This activism is tokenistic - BIPOC women matter, queer people matter.

F: I have been doing a lot of organising for this campaign and working closely with Vincent. Essential to fight for health care for all marginalised communities. Stand by the amendments, making campaign harder is completely ridiculous

Procedural for 10 minute break moved by Katchmirr.

Outcome: passes.

Amendment passes.

Moved to consideration of the motion as amended.

A: Just because ANU is doing it, all used ANU services, they are not the best. ANU running it is not going to be the most well-funded. We look at ANU Sport and Club Lime, public service does not need a private service.

F: I think that ANU is not outsourcing it, ANU is outsourcing it because they can't afford it, they put profit ahead of students. Have to say that outsourcing is an abhorrent decision. Never line up with the VC's claim that they are acting in the interests of staff and students.

Procedural for Phi to speak again.

Mover: Sophie Outcome: passes

F: Slightly frustrated that there is no acknowledgement of what has happened. Endorsement at Market Day, at Universal Lunch Hour, poster-runs have been organised, begun consultant. Wants to thank departments for the speak-out. Done a lot of the physical organising

Right of Reply: Grace

- Need to be oppositional to university management
- This union has agreed to help the university with management by encouraging students to enrol early into classes.
- Management is always hostile to us and have cut staff pay and conditions in order to outsource because of free market benefits and it has ultimately gone under
- Think that the motion should be put up, against university management cutting jobs against university experience.

Procedural for Vincent to ask a question.

Outcome: passes

Q: Can you clarify the motion from last year?

A: Grace: Motion last year that university said that management should enrol into courses early so that they can cut courses. Sophie: Intention for traditionally lower-enrolled courses to be enrolled.

Point of clarification by Ben Wicks: motion was to avoid classes with traditionally low enrolment being cut.

Vote on the motion as a whole.

Outcome: passes

Sophie becomes chair.

Procedural moved by Ben to move 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 5.2 and 5.3 on block.

Christian procedural for a 2 minute break to figure out amendments.

Outcome: passes

Updated procedural to move 4.4, 5.2 and 5.3 en bloc.

Outcome: passes.

Motion 4.2: Introduction of a Mandatory Release of the EOI Form – Withdrawn

Motion 4.3: Limits on campaign related expenditure adjustment

Thomas proposes a friendly amendment to 4.3

Sophie: Any speakers for or against?

Sophie: Meg would you like to exercise yoru right of reply?

Meg: No

Sophie: Great lets putt it to a vote

Outcome: Passes

Preamble:

Currently, it remains largely unclear for candidates and tickets the amounts they are able to spend during the election period. Furthermore, the maximum candidate number of 38 no longer accounts for the introduction of new positions which tickets may seek to contest. The 'Schedule 1' included in the original provision has also not been included in the Regulations, at least since early 2020 though Schedules A and B remain in place.

As such, this amendment makes some minor changes to account for these issues.

The provision currently read as follows:

- 2.9.1 The campaign expenditure by a ticket must not exceed the amount set out in schedule 1 of this regulation.
- 2.9.2 Schedule 1 shall be updated periodically by the Probity Team in accordance with formula cap = min + (max min) * (ln x / ln 38) rounded to the nearest whole dollar, where;
 - (a) Max shall be the total limit on campaign expenditure for a ticket;
 - (b) x shall be the total number of positions being run for on a ticket between 1 (one) and 38 (thirty-eight), one individual may count for multiple positions where the positions are such that those positions could be simultaneously held:
 - (c) Min shall be a value designated by the Probity team to account for CPI and other factors that may affect the cost of running a campaign that shall not be less than 1. Any change to min shall not create significant changes in the funding limit between years and may be disallowed by SRC; and

_

(d) The Returning Officer or their nominee shall make an accessible table of all possible expenditure groups at the start of each election season. It is a breach of the Regulations to falsify evidence of campaign-related expenditure.

Motion:

To amend the following provisions of the Election Regulations:

- 2.9.1 The limits on campaign expenditure by a ticket must not exceed the amount set out in the Annual Election Expenditure Schedule to be released by Probity Officers on or before the opening of nominations.
- 2.9.2 The Election Expenditure Schedule shall be updated by the Probity Team in accordance with the formula: cap = min + (max min) * (ln x / ln y) rounded to the nearest whole dollar, where:
 - (a) Max shall be the total limit on campaign expenditure for a ticket
 - (b) One individual may count for multiple positions where the positions are such that those positions could be simultaneoulsy held such that:
 - (i) x shall be the total number of positions being run for on a ticket between 1 (one) and y; and
 - (ii) y shall be the total number of positions that could be contested by a ticket in that particular election year.
 - (c) Min shall be a value designated by the Probity team to account for CPI and other factors that may affect the cost of running a campaign that shall not be less than 1. Any change to min shall not create significant changes in the funding limit between years and may be disallowed by SRC.

Thomas proposes a friendly amendment to 2.9.2(c) such that it reads as:

2.9.2(c) Min and max shall be values designated by the Probity team in line with the year-on-year CPI and changes to number of contestable positions, or other factors affecting the cost of campaigning. Any change to these values shall not create a significant change to the funding limit, except in cases of significant change to number of contestable positions, and may be disallowed by SRC.



Mover: Thomas Burnett

- Clarifies what has already been interpreted
- Benefits of the formula are that no real change has to happen to the schedule released by Probity this year.

Seconder: Meghan Malone

- Thanks Thomas for work on this.

No speakers for or against.

Outcome: passes

Motion 4.4: Update to the Referendum procedures

Preamble:

The provisions for referendums are considerably out of date. In fact, they still provide for inperson polling to take place in Union Court! As such, they need to be updated to reflect current conditions, including by allowing for online as well as in-person polling.

Motion:

- 1. That the Election Regulations be altered by amending 8.5.1 as follows:
- 8.5.1 On each of the days upon which polling in a Referendum is held, at least 3 hours of polling must be conducted in the Kambri precinct. Such polling may be held concurrently with polling for the Annual Election.
 - 2. That the Election Regulations be amended by removing 8.5.3 and 8.5.4.

Mover: Meghan Malone

- Speaks to 4.4, 5.2 and 5.3
- Thanks Probity Officers Thomas, Felix and others for their work.
- 4.4. Refers to Union Court which no longer exists,
- 5.2, Ensuring mandatory release of ANUSA EOI form.
- Happy to take any questions, think its important for people to be involved in election regs.

Seconder: Ben Wicks

- The fact that EOI is mandated, as someone who fills it out twice, says that it should be filled out earlier, tap culture is gross, really happy it is a mandatory thing.

No speakers for or against the motion.

Outcome: Passes.

Motion 4.5: Clarifying the definition of 'campaigning'

Preamble:

The Election Regulation Working Group had some conversation about what it means to campaign, and particularly, whether taking photographs or producing videos in exclusion zones should be allowed. It is recognised that as campaigning moves more online and the health risk persists, tickets and candidates are increasingly eager to produce electronic content for elections including videos, photographs and other social media content. They may also want such content to demonstrate a connection to particular parts of the ANU campus.

For instance, tickets or candidates may wish to take profile pictures among some of Kambri's scenery or film a Tiktok on Daley Road. Our view was that so long as the production of such content does not amount to a promotion of the ticket in the space which it is produced until it is released online, it should not amount to 'actively canvassing votes' within an exclusion zone.

Motion:

- 1. To amend the Election Regulations as follows:
- 3.1.2C For the purposes of 3.1.2, 'campaigning' does not include the taking of photographs, filming of videos or production of other social media content to be released after the notice of the call for nominations so long as it is compliant with 3.1.3A.
 - 2. To amend the Election Regulations as follows:
- 3.1.3 It is an offence to actively canvass votes within the areas specified in Schedule B to these Regulations.
- 3.1.3A For the purposes of 3.1.3, 'actively canvassing votes' does not include taking photographs or filming social media and video content where such content production does not

promote the relevant ticket or candidates/s to any other occupants of the space in any way, including by:

- (a) engaging, communicating with or involving any other occupants of the space beyond the concerned ticket or candidate/s;
- (b) erecting or using any electoral publication in content production which is clearly visible to any other occupant of the space;
- (c) wearing clothing or accessories which clearly visibly promotes the ticket or candidate/s to other occupants of the space apart from via the release of the content online;
- (d) playing music or making sound which is clearly audible to other occupants of the space and which promotes the ticket or candidate/s; and
- (e) otherwise occupying the space for the purposes of content production in a way which amounts to harassment of or a significant disturbance to other occupants including by excessively overcrowding the space with persons or other objects.

Procedural for a 5 minute break moved by Meg.

Outcome: Passes

Amendment proposed to 4.5 For the purposes of 3.1.3, 'actively canvassing votes' does not include taking photographs or filming social media and video content where such content production does not promote the relevant ticket or candidates/s for the inducement of votes to any other occupants of the space in any way, including by:

Mover: Meghan Malone

- This is important, as without this there are issues where people filming Tiktoks in their own rooms or taking headshots in Kambri would not be allowed.

Seconder: Ben Wicks

Outcome: passes

Procedural motion to consider motions 4.6-4.8 en block moved by Ben.

Outcome: Passes

Motion 4.6: Call for Referendum

Preamble:

These motions are put forward on behalf of the Environment Collective. This year, the Environment Collective has been running a 'Fossil Free ANU' campaign. This campaign calls on ANU to divest from the fossil fuel industry immediately. Brian Schmidt has said that he supports maintaining the ANU's investments in the fossil fuel industry. As young people, it is our future that the university is jeopardising by acting as a corporatised hedge fund rather than a public education institution. We have decided to seek to have a referendum in order to give students a say about whether the ANU is right to keep investing in industries that are destroying the planet.

Action:

The meeting instructs the General Secretary or their delegate to administer a referendum concurrently with the 2021 ANUSA Elections on the following question:

Do you think ANU should withdraw all current investments in the fossil fuel industry and commit to make no further investments in the fossil fuel industry?

With the options:

Yes

No

Mover: Ben Yates

Speaking on behalf of EC, we think Brian Schmidt's comments on fossil fuels are absurd, given the overwhelming students support to divest from fossil fuels.

Seconder: Dylan Green

Think it's important to hold the university to account on these issues.

Procedural motion by Ben W to ask a question.

Outcome: passes.

Q: Will the referendum also be on the paper ballot?

A: Meg: Would work the same way, hopefully runs without a hitch this year.

Procedural for another speaker for the motion moved by Yeran.

Outcome: Passes

F: Great we are actually taking a political stance on this, very important motion, you need to get up against ANU Advisement. We need someone to take political stances this way the way a student union should. So fully support the motion.

Lost quorum briefly.

Outcome on motions 4.6 - 4.8: passes **Motion 4.7: Support for 'yes' vote**

Preamble:

The Association should be active in supporting the 'yes' campaign that the Environment Collective will lead. Furthermore, as this is taking place concurrently with the ANUSA elections, candidates and tickets ought to use the platforms they are given to support a yes vote and show the University we oppose climate destruction and corporatisation.

Action:

- 1. ANUSA declares its support for the 'yes' campaign for the 2021 Fossil Free referendum.
- 2. ANUSA will actively support the 'yes' campaign before and during the referendum including
 - a. Publishing statements, graphics, information or other promotional material on its social media channels encouraging students to vote 'yes' at least weekly in the three weeks leading up to the referendum and daily during the voting period, with the exact nature of the materials to be determined in consultation with the Environment Officer.
 - b. Publishing material supporting a 'yes' vote in the two ANUSA newsletters before the referendum, with the exact nature of the materials to be determined in consultation with the Environment Officer.
 - c. ANUSA encourages all candidates and tickets contesting the ANUSA Election to declare their support for a 'yes' vote in the Fossil Free referendum and to actively feature a call for a 'yes' vote in campaign materials.

Moved: Ben Yates

Seconded: Dylan Green

Motion 4.8: Call for Referendum

Action:

If the fossil free referendum returns a 'yes' result, ANUSA encourages the Undergraduate Member on ANU Council to table the result at an ANU Council meeting as soon as possible after the result is declared.

Moved: Ben Yates Seconded: Dylan Green

Motion 4.10: Opposition to the Menzies Institute

Preamble

Students at Melbourne University are campaigning to prevent the introduction of a new museum and library, which sounds perfectly innocuous except for its name - the Robert Menzies Institute. The legacy of Menzies should be sufficient grounds for students to reject his glorification. Menzies was an open Nazi sympathiser, who wrote in 1938 after a tour of the Third Reich that the Germans had "erected the state, with Hitler as its head, into a sort of religion which produces spiritual exaltation that one cannot but admire." As Prime Minister, he oversaw the sale en masse of Indigenous land to mining corporations, he utilised the military to break strikes, and he introduced conscription for the Vietnam War.

It isn't just the extensive crimes list of Menzies himself which is objectionable about the new joint venture between Melbourne Uni boss Duncan Maskell and the Menzies Research Centre, a Liberal-aligned think tank. The board of the new institute is a who's who of Australia's most repulsive and right-wing figures, from reactionary pundits like Peta Credlin, to the chairperson of the IPA, Janet Albrechtsen.

Why should ANU students care? Firstly, introducing the Menzies Institute, like the Ramsay Centre, is an attempt by powerful right-wing forces to pay for their ideas to be spread. This should be opposed. The Western Civilisation degree proposed by the Ramsay Centre was a right-wing intervention to celebrate racism and the nationalism of Australia and its allies. Particularly worrying was that the Ramsay Centre had attempted to push the ANU to allow its staff to be present in the classroom and observe how the content is taught. It would have been a dangerous precedent, had a degree on western chauvinism been introduced at ANU, that the right can purchase a voice on campuses to spread their xenophobic vitriol. The Menzies Institute represents a similar threat to our increasingly corporatised universities, and for this reason should be opposed.

Secondly, paying \$7 million to open the institute while cutting jobs and courses at Melbourne Uni is a slap in the face to staff and students. Maskell, an open anti-lockdown advocate, has demonstrated time and again that he prioritises profit-making above public health and education. Melbourne University was revealed as one of the universities that for at least a decade has been systematically underpaying tutors. Melbourne Uni acknowledges that in 2020, 838 positions were cut, including 107 forced redundancies. The same disregard for students' courses and staff jobs has been demonstrated at ANU, which has purchased a new bus stop and offices for Chancellor Julie Bishop while cutting hundreds of jobs. Our fight at ANU for a fair education system is linked with the fight across the country.

A student and staff campaign defeated the Ramsay Centre at ANU, and now a similar campaign is taking off at Melbourne University. We owe it to our fellow students to join their fight.

Action

- 1. ANUSA endorses the campaign to oppose the Robert Menzies Institute at Melbourne University.
- ANUSA will put out a post from its Facebook page encouraging students to sign the open letter opposing the Robert Menzies Institute: https://stopmenziesinstitute.wordpress.com/open-letter-to-stop-the-robert-menzies-institute/
- 3. ANUSA calls on the ANU to rename the Menzies library to a figure without Nazi sympathies.

Mover: Nicholas Carlton

- Menzies was an ardent Nazies sympathiser, supporter of white Australian policy, that should be enough.

Procedural for Vincent to ask a question

Outcome: Passes

Q: Since the motion deals with nazism and racism have the BIPOC and indigenous Dept been consulted?

A: Nic: I think everyone should agree Menzies is racist.

Ben W: motion to suspend standing orders

Outcome: passes

Grace H: I don't understand why consulting departments is this big thing.

Katch: Consulting departments is really important and something we've worked really hard for to ensure that we are represented, this is our way to have a voice.

Grace: There is a contrast between the identity politics and the politics of solidarity.

Katch: It is disgusting that you would call me using my identity, identity politics.

Sinead: Speaking not on behalf of the DSA, but on behalf of myself, how dare you compare consultation to identity politics? No one is trying to drive identity politics into this. This has to do with you wanting control.

Chido: What you said to the indigenous officer is disgusting. How can you say you stand for the rights of indigenous people when you disrespect the indigenous representative of your union? I am against Menzies. But you do not need to be further marginalising marginalised people. You said something so insensitive to someone from the oldest culture in the world that you claim to support.

Motion that discussion time be extended.

Outcome: passes.

Grace Carter: People from different identities can have different views, it is a right-wing intervention. It is narcissism to say you as one person represent everyone in your department, how are you meant to build solidarity

Nic: This is exactly what I was saying with regards to NHC, if you do not have an issue with the motion, why are you attacking us for not consulting you?

Christian: You are the reason the socialist revolution isn't happening.

Nic: I'm sorry Chido, I didn't consult you, I should've, especially since one of your members is part of the LNP, they are literally right wing and I don't understand why you would make me consult them? They support Isarel, that's like you are telling me that I should consult

Sinead: Procedural for a 5 min break.

Outcome: Passes

Amendment accepted without dissent such that clause 3 reads with 'Nazi and racist symapthies'.

Max moved a procedural motion to put the motion to a vote.

Outcome: Passes

Motion 4.11: Quarantine facilities on campus

Preamble

It has recently been announced that Davey Lodge will be used for quarantining vaccinated officials returning to Australia. These facilities have already been used for quarantining - such as for the official travellers returning from the G7 summit. These pilot quarantine programs are being operated by the uni in the hopes that they will be able to quarantine international students at Davey Lodge.

The starting point for considering using ANU facilities for quarantine has to be public health. The majority of COVID-19 outbreaks since the start of the pandemic have been as a result of leaks in hotel quarantine. Many people have also caught COVID-19 while quarantining. This is due to the fact that hotel rooms are not designed to be used in this manner. For example the airborne

- -

spread of covid can still occur, as hotel rooms are not built for negative pressure. Negative pressure rooms are able to stop the flow of contaminated air out of the room.

University facilities, like hotels, are not purpose built for safely quarantining and face the same risks as hotel quarantining. It presents a danger to the wider community and also those quarantining in these facilities.

Davey Lodge has also previously been deemed unsuitable for quarantine by ACT Health due to the lack of kitchen balcony facilities.

For these reasons ANUSA should oppose the current program of quarantining vaccinated officials and any future proposals for quarantining international and interstate arrivals, and demand that the federal and state government build purpose built quarantine facilities.

Motion

- ANUSA opposes the use of on campus student accommodation as facilities, such as Davey Lodge for quarantining of international and interstate arrivals in the interest of public health.
- 2. ANUSA calls on the federal and state government to invest in and construct purpose built quarantine accommodation in order to facilitate international and interstate arrivals.

Mover: Wren Somerville

- Facilities are not purpose built and it is not safe for students

Seconder: Nicholas Carlton

- Ridiculous that we're 1.5 years into a pandemic, and that Australians haven't been able to return. But safety and public health is important, hotel quarantine is not sustainable.

Procedural for Thomas to ask a question.

Passes

Q: Can you please share where your resources came from?

A: Woroni article which referenced ACT Health guidelines.

A: As one of those Australians that is stranded overseas, I guess I just want to point that it's not as black and white. I strongly agree with sections 2,3,4 of the motion. With regards to 1 I just want to highlight that Davey doesn't have vents, which is why it was identified as a suitable way to quarantine and then this is where consulting departments becomes very important because this disproportionately affects international students and BIPOC.

F: Lack of kitchens and balconies is pretty appalling. Really high risk to students and not appropriate as a facility.

Point of clarification moved by Isha: Just wanted to add that lack of kitchens and balconies, aren't great, but that's the way it is at this present stage in a large number of the quarantine facilities across the country,

Right of reply: Wren: We should be spending millions on public health rather than military and other large expenses that the government undertakes. I have read more articles than Woroni and it is not safe to quarantine at Davey.

Outcome: Passes

Item 5: Other Business

Motion 5.1 (considered earlier in the meeting)

Preamble:

On August 1st, 2017, the Australian Human Rights Commission handed down their "Change the Course" report about SASH at universities across Australia. ANU ranked as one of the least safe universities in the nation, and ever since then ANUSA and the ANU Women's Department have been advocating for improving prevention and support services on campus.

The Broken Promises report has been constructed to represent the scale of the ANU's failure. Dozens of recommendations continue to go unfulfilled, and it is critical for survivors and future ANU students that they are quickly and effectively actioned. Adequate resourcing from the ANU is critical to ensuring this outcome, and the failure of the ANU is unacceptable. Survivors deserve support from the institution that is meant to protect them, and every day that promises are not kept, current students suffer, and future students are put at risk.

On August 1st, 2021, the ANU Women's Department held its fourth protest to the ANU's lacklustre response since 2017. Support for the protest was immense, and continued activism and advocacy on this issue is critical going forward.

Motion:

- 5. ANUSA stands in solidarity with survivors, and resolutely condemns the ANU for its inaction after 4 years of student activism.
- ANUSA supports the efforts of the Women's Department to advocate for better SASH
 prevention and support services at the ANU, particularly as outlined in the Broken
 Promises report.
- ANUSA accepts the Broken Promises report and supports its use as a document for continued advocacy, as well as its related petition. (https://anuwomensdepartmentorg.files.wordpress.com/2021/08/broken-promises-2021-1.pdf)
- 8. ANUSA calls upon all its members, and particularly the 2021 SRC, to sign the petition before its delivery to the ANU: http://chng.it/tQJ6P4QMcQ

Motion 5.2: Fixing numbering in Referendum provisions (considered earlier in the meeting)

Preamble:

A number of provisions in the Election Regulations, specifically in the Referendum section, refer to outdate provisions of the Regulations which are no longer in effect or have moved spots/ numbering.

Motion:

- 1. That the 8.2.1 of the Election Regulations be amended as follows:
- 8.2.1 A Referendum must be conducted in accordance with the polling procedure under section 8.5.
 - 2. That 8.4.2 of the Election Regulations be amended as follows:
- 8.4.2 If a Referendum question is seeking a yes/no answer, the Returning Officer or their nominee must arrange to count the number of yes and no votes, and subject to section 8.6 has the discretion to rule any vote as formal if the voter's intention is clear.
 - 3. That 8.5.7 of the Election Regulations be amended as follows:

- 8.5.7 Where an ordinary member of the Association applies in person to vote at a polling place, and the member's name is included on the list of members prepared under section 8.5.6, the Returning Officer or their nominee must subject to section 8.5.5(a) provide to the member a ballot paper for the Referendum.
- 4. That 8.5.9 of the Election Regulations be amended as follows:

8.5.9 Where an ordinary member of the Association is issued a ballot papers under section 8.5.8, the member shall mark their ballot papers with a yes or no where a Referendum presents a range of options in accordance with section 8.2.3, enclose the ballot papers in the declaration vote envelope provided, sign the declaration on the envelope, and return the envelope to the Returning Officer or their nominee who issued the ballot papers to the member.

Mover: Meghan Malone Seconder: Thomas Burnett

Motion 5.3: Introduction of a Mandatory Release of the EOI Form (considered earlier in the meeting)

Preamble:

It has long been known that ANUSA Elections can be cliquey and lack transparency. This is confirmed by the results of this year's Electoral Reform survey. While it has been an informal practice to release the EOI form, it is important that this becomes a central aspect of the ANUSA Election calendar. This motion binds the General Secretary to release the form a substantial date prior to the election so that there is genuine time for people to complete it and for it to be advertised by ANUSA.

Motion:

To amend the Election Regulations by inserting the following:

- 2.2.7 The General Secretary must release an Expression of Interest (EOI) Form which gives all undergraduate students the opportunity to indicate their interest in running for office on ANUSA on a ticket.
- 2.2.8 Responses to the EOI Form are to be made available after the release of the EOI Form to any ANUSA member who:
 - (a) Places a written request with the General Secretary; and
 - (b) Expresses that they intend to or are contemplating convening a ticket to contest the ANUSA Annual Election.
- 2.2.9 Reasonable efforts must be made by the General Secretary to make the form available for completion by all undergraduate students at least 21 days prior to the notice of the Call for Nominations.

Mover: Ben Wicks

Seconder: Meghan Malone

Item 6: Meeting Close

Meeting closes: 10pm

Treasurer OGM 2 Report

Siang Jin Law

Executive Summary

- 1. Expenditure Report
- 2. Finance Review Committee
- 3. ANUSA Business
- 4. Term Deposit
- 5. Ethical Sponsorships

Further Information

1. Expenditure Report

Membership Solutions Limited

Please find attached ANUSA's expenditure report from the 1st of December till the 31st of July. If you have any questions please let me know, I will be more than happy to answer! You can ask me at OGM or send me an email at sa.treasurer@anu.edu.au.

Profit & Loss The Australian National University Students' Association Incorporated 1 December 2020 to 31 July 2021

	31 Jul 21	
Income		
SSAF Allocation	\$1,544,218.70	
Total Income	\$1,544,218.70	
Gross Profit	\$1,544,218.70	
Less Operating Expenses		
Accounting/Bookkeeping - Xero	\$687.28	
Auditing	\$10,627.27	
BKSS Food/Consumables	\$2,478.66	
Bus expenses	\$43,528.91	
Departments & Collectives	\$64,069.42	
Education Committee	\$370.50	
Fees & Subscriptions	\$554.87	
IT Support & Equipment	\$5,354.00	
Leadership and Professional Development	\$23,314.82	
Meeting Expenses	\$760.16	

\$9,435.40

Other Insurance	\$382.77
Printer	\$570.80
Stationery/General Supplies/Postage	\$1,260.50
Student Engagement	\$4,593.92
Universal Lunch Hour	\$2.45
Utilities	\$2,824.75
Workers Compensation Insurance	\$14,711.16
ANUSA Committee Projects	
Committee projects - General	\$259.09
Total ANUSA Committee Projects	\$259.09
	-
Bank Fees	
Bank Fees with GST	\$379.54
Bank Fees without GST	\$495.24
Total Bank Fees	\$874.78
BKSS Non-Food	
BKSS Non-food	\$5,748.76
Total BKSS Non-Food	\$5,748.76
Bush Week	
Bush Week - Events	\$13,632.68
Bush Week General expenses	\$84.50
Total Bush Week	\$13,717.18
	-
C&S Training & Events	
C&S Training and events	\$190.91
Total C&S Training & Events	\$190.91
	-
Clubs Council and Clubs Grants	
Club Funding	\$36,976.02
Total Clubs Council and Clubs Grants	\$36,976.02
Consultancy	
Consultancy	\$1,000.00
Legal Expenses	\$5,379.01
Total Consultancy	\$6,379.01
Equipment	
Equipment Expense	\$99.09
Total Equipment	\$99.09
Marketing & Communications	
Marketing & Communications - Advertising	\$43.41
Marketing & Communications - Printing	Φ4 004 00
Marketing & Communications - Finding	\$1,324.23

Other Employee Expenses	
Other Employee Expense	\$6,526.67
Staff Amenities	\$335.74
Total Other Employee Expenses	\$6,862.41
- Professional Pro	1-12-1
O-Week	
O-Week Events	\$54,560.18
O-Week General expenses	\$297.00
Total O-Week	\$54,857.18
Salary and Wages	
Department - Stipends	\$50,216.46
Department - Superannuation	\$4,529.23
Honoraria	\$2,000.00
Salaries and Wages	\$459,630.55
Salaries and Wages - ANUSA Exec	\$105,637.08
Salaries and Wages - BKSS	\$29,688.94
Salaries and Wages - Event Coordinators	\$17,171.83
Superannuation Expense	\$74,801.06
Superannuation Expense - ANUSA Exec	\$10,094.92
Superannuation Expense - BKSS	\$2,975.35
Superannuation Expense - Event Coordinators	\$1,706.74
Total Salary and Wages	\$758,452.16
0. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
Student Assistance Team Grants	# 00.404.05
Student Assistance Team Grants	\$28,484.25
Total Student Assistance Team Grants	\$28,484.25
Student Assistance Team Purchases	
SAT Purchases - Grocery Vouchers	\$4,587.90
SAT Purchases - Student Meals & Others	\$2,525.68
Total Student Assistance Team Purchases	\$7,113.58
Total Student Assistance Team Furchases	ψ1,110.00
Total Operating Expenses	\$1,106,909.70
i olai opei aliila Labeli3e3	
Total Operating Expenses	¥1,100,000.10
Operating Profit	\$437,309.00
Operating Profit	
Operating Profit Non-operating Income	\$437,309.00
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53 \$11,744.66
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income Other Grant Funding	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53 \$11,744.66 \$93,606.16
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income Other Grant Funding Sales - BKSS	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53 \$11,744.66 \$93,606.16 \$194.17
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income Other Grant Funding Sales - BKSS Sponsorship - External	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53 \$11,744.66 \$93,606.16 \$194.17 \$925.00
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income Other Grant Funding Sales - BKSS Sponsorship - External Sponsorship - O-Week	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53 \$11,744.66 \$93,606.16 \$194.17 \$925.00 \$17,825.90
Operating Profit Non-operating Income Interest Income Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income Other Grant Funding Sales - BKSS Sponsorship - External Sponsorship - O-Week Ticket/Event Sales - O Week	\$437,309.00 \$1,244.53 \$11,744.66 \$93,606.16 \$194.17 \$925.00 \$17,825.90 \$1,260.83

Non-operating Expenses

Non SSAF	
Loss on Sale of Assets	-\$15,272.73
Emergency Student Bursaries	
Salaries and Wages - Non SSAF	\$2,955.42
SAT Purchases - Grocery Vouchers COVID-19	\$14,250.00
Student Assistance Team Grants - COVID-19	\$76,400.74
Total Emergency Student Bursaries	\$93,606.16
Total Non SSAF	\$78,333.43
Total Non-operating Expenses	\$78,333.43
Net Profit	¢405 702 27
Net Profit	\$485,782.27

2. Finance Review Committee

We've elected our new committee at the last AGM and have run a training session on everything they need to know. Thank you to James, Saad and Christian for putting their hand up for the role, it's super important work and we are very grateful for their help.

3. ANUSA Business

I have launched the ANUSA Business survey on Facebook and it can be found here (https://anu.au1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_0GOiEIfAyq0aMOW) At the time of writing we have received 299 responses. We will be using this data in negotiations with the University, as well as to inform our business model going forward.

We will also be co-running an event with ANU Consulting on troubleshooting and brainstorming ideas and issues around the Night Café on the Thursday of Bush Week. This will hopefully form good policy and awareness of issues around this for us moving forward with the Business.

4. Term Deposit

We have successfully lodged \$1,500,000 of our reserves into a term deposit with AMP, and will be opening a second one of \$500,000 soon.

5. Ethical Sponsorships

We have had a number of meetings and are almost done with the register. It has been very helpful having this register for Bush Week sponsors, and it will hopefully streamline future sponsorship avenues too. Thank you to the whole committee for their participation and thoughts.

В	udget Variance	
The Australian National University Students' Association Incorporated		
	2020 Update	
Income		
SSAF Allocation	\$1,642,550.00	
University Top-Up	\$125,000.00	
Rollover	\$269,433.70	
Total Income	\$2,036,983.70	
Total income	\$2,030,983.70	
Gross Profit	\$2,036,983.70	
Less Operating Expenses		
Accounting/Bookkeeping - Xero	\$1,500.00	
ANUSA Committee Projects	\$2,000.00	
Auditing	\$15,000.00	
Bank Fees	\$2,000.00	
BKSS Food/Consumables	\$30,000.00	
BKSS Non-Food	\$7,500.00	
Bus expenses	\$5,000.00	
Bush Week	\$23,000.00	
C&S Training and special events	\$20,000.00	
Cleaning	\$13,000.00	
Clubs	\$170,000.00	
College Representatives	\$3,000.00	
Departments & Collectives	\$110,000.00	
Education Committee	\$3,500.00	
Elections	\$200.00	
Equipment	\$5,500.00	
Fees & Subscriptions	\$8,000.00	
General Representatives Reserve	\$2,500.00	
Honoraria	\$7,500.00	
IT Support & Equipment	\$5,000.00	
Leadership and Professional Development	\$25,000.00	
Consultancy and Legal Expenses	\$35,000.00	
Marketing & Communications	\$12,500.00	
Meeting Expenses	\$2,000.00	
New Bus	\$23,000.00	
NUS	\$10,000.00	
Other Employee Expenses	\$14,000.00	
O-Week	\$85,000.00	

Printer	\$5,500.00	
Repairs and Maintenance and Replacements	\$2,000.00	
Salary and Wages	\$1,291,058.48	
Stationery/General Supplies/Postage	\$4,500.00	
Student Assistance Grants	\$50,000.00	
Student Assistance Purchases and others	\$15,000.00	
Student Engagement	\$28,000.00	
Telephone	\$400.00	
Training	\$19,000.00	
Utilities	\$15,500.00	
Workers Compensation Insurance	\$16,379.00	
Total Operating Expenses	\$2,088,037.48	
Net Difference (to be paid out of reserves)	-\$51,053.78	