

AGENDA - COLLEGE REPRESENTATIVE COUNCIL (CRC) 6 2018

Tuesday 28 August 2018

6pm

6:10pm meeting opens

Item 1: Meeting Opens and Apologies

. 1.1 Acknowledgement of Country

We acknowledge the Ngunnawal and Ngambri people as the Traditional Custodians of the land on which ANUSA operates. We recognise the continuing connection to lands, waters, and communities of all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. We pay our respect to Elders, past and present, and commit to standing with our first nations people.

Apologies: Amel

Item 2: Accepting the minutes from the previous CRC meeting

Moved: Mali

Seconded: Victor

Status: Passed

Procedural: That there be a 15 minute question and answer time for reports before all are passed together.

Moved: Eleanor

Seconded: Harry

Status: Passed

Item 3: Executive Reports

- 3.1 President's report (E.Kay) [Reference A]
- 3.2 Vice President's report (T.Masters) [Reference B]
- 3.3 Education Officer's report (H.Needham) [Reference C]
- 3.4 General Secretary's report (E.Lim) [Reference D]

Item 4: College Representative Reports

- 4.1 College of Arts and Social Sciences [Reference F]
- 4.2 College of Engineering and Computer Science [Reference G]
- 4.3 College of Law [Reference H]
- 4.4 Joint College of Sciences Representatives [Reference I]

- 4.5 College of Business and Economics Representatives [Reference]]
- 4.6 College of Asia Pacific Representatives [Reference K]

CASS reps flagged that discussions surrounding lecture attendance had occurred and that the CASS reps have been collaborating with the Diploma of Languages working group. The CASS reps flagged that they would be running an Arts day on the 28th of September.

CBE flagged that they were following up printer issues in the CBE foyer and that they received 23 responses from Micro 3 EMET and PPE survey.

National Science Week was determined as a success and a fantastic week.

The CAP reps updated the CRC on the Diploma of Languages working group stating that both staff and students have attended and that it was early days in deciding what approaches will be taken.

The IT difficulties were mentioned, as was the lack of sufficient communication to the student body. If students had faced issues with extensions, they were encouraged to contact the VP.

Motion: That the Exec and College Rep reports be accepted.

Moved: Victor

Seconded: Eleanor

Status: Passed

Item 5: Discussion Items/Motions on Notice

Discussion item 5.1 Reviewing Course Rep Training (E.Kay)

Procedural to suspend standing order to discuss freely item 5.1 for 15 minutes.

Moved: Shoshana Seconded: Campbell Status: Passed

There was a mixed response in regards to turnout and people putting their hands up for the position. It was also mentioned that there was a feedback link. The discussion also highlighted that having an earlier training session may have assisted course reps more. The interactive nature of the training was flagged as helpful and productive. It was mentioned that earlier knowledge of the date would have assisted in attendance. The style of the handbook was also discussed and it was flagged that a digestible, easy to follow handbook would benefit the dissemination of the information.

Meeting closes at 7:21pm.

The next meeting of the College Representative Council is scheduled to be on Tuesday 2nd October at $6 \mathrm{pm}$

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Eleanor Kay

Please see SRC 6 report.

VICE PRESIDENT'S REPORT

The Vice President's report was not received.

EDUCATION OFFICER'S REPORT

Please see SRC 6 Report.

GENERAL SECRETARY'S REPORT Eden Lim

Please see SRC 6 report.

College of Arts and Social Sciences

Amel Saeed and Seren Heyman-Griffiths

No report was submitted.

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE REPRESENTATIVES'S REPORT

[Jessica Kennedy and Yaya Lu]

Executive Summary

- Large number of academic grievances for COMP1600 CECS Reps are hosting an R U Okay Day picnic No progress on cultural audit response from clubs and societies

Further Information

Academic Grievances/ Initiatives

Course Code	Further Information			
COMP1600	 Received 9 complaints (8 within 24hrs of each other) about poor running of course Concerns included; 			
	o Students are incredibly concerned about the release of Assignment 1 (which to my understanding was planned to be released on 17th August and is due on 31stAugust). Several students have reached out expressing concern because they had planned to work on this assignment over the weekend – and have extracurricular activities in addition to other assessment which will make it difficult for them to find sufficient time to complete this assignment to their desired standard prior to the due date.			
	 Students have expressed concern about the fact that the weightings of the assessments in the course have still not been released – which has not allowed them sufficient time to plan. 			
	 Finally, the timely release of lecture slides and tutorial questions has also been an issue which was raised by many students. 			
	 Course convener responded via email in a timely manner, apologised, accepted responsibility and set aside time in his day to fix the issue. However, due to the seriousness and number of complaints received, we still intend on submitting a formal report to the appropriate bodies within CECS 			

Small amount of budget spent to cater for CECS course rep training session (Friday 24th August).

Student Experience

Topic	Further Information		
ANU Innovation Challenge	 All payments have been received. All event duties are completed. 		
Formal Collaboration Between CECS Clubs and Societies (Notice board + Cultural Audit Response)	 The only response received was from Engineers without Borders (EWB). As a result this project has not gone ahead and we have decided to focus on induvial initiatives. 		
R U Okay Day	 Have decided to run an R U Okay Day picnic event for all CECS students from 1-3pm on Wednesday 19th Sept (Week 7) Will be using money from our budget to provide catering & R U Okay Day themed merchandise for students (ie. temp tattoos, wrist bands, etc.) Have informally invited Batyr to run a stall/ be present at the event Will be reaching out to ANU Counselling for collect information pamphlets to hand-out. Have confirmed with CECS Clubs/ societies to confirm no event overlap 		

Future Initiatives

9

College of Law

Angela Chen and Campbell Clapp

Executive Summary

- 1. Election Outcomes
- 2. International Students and International Student Representation in Law
- 3. Indigenous Cultural Competency Update
- 4. Course Rep Training
- 5. Clinical Programs Selection Policy
- 6. CEC Issues
 - a. Lack of LLB(Hons) Committee

Report

1. Election Outcomes

Congratulations to Kunal and Stella for being elected. We want to thank them for reaching out to us about their policy and taking on our advice and working it into their policy. We are super excited to work with them over the next few months of handover and wish them luck for 2019.

2. International Students and International Student Representation in Law

Angela attended the International Students afternoon tea last week, and met with Joshua Neoh who has replaced Jolyon Ford as the Sub-Dean (International) as well as the Law Students' Society International Students Rep and Culture Bridge Representatives. We discussed some of the issues facing international students and how the college can address them and ensure that students are adequately represented.

Our next meeting will be on the 3rd of September and we will be basically be workshopping ideas as to how to create events to bring international students together. We will be mostly discussing with Joshua about how to address some curriculum related issues that some international students continuously struggle with.

Angela will also be hoping to arrange a meeting with Jo to discuss how to get the CoL to fund more exchange opportunities/internships that he has been diligently work on and how we can be his student voice, to advocate for his work ot be realised.

3. Indigenous Cultural Competency Update

This project is currently most actively being worked on by Lauren Skinner (VP – Education on the LSS) who is working with the rest of the ALSA delegates to see how we can better incorporate Indigenous Content into the curriculum.

We are currently working with Christie Gardiner to come up with some survey questions about this issue to send to our students in around October so that we will have results by the time Law school review comes around. The due date for these questions will be on the 3rd of September so that we have time to discuss the suitability of this survey before Lauren proposes it ALSA in late September. Our aim to also provide other law schools with a draft so they too can disseminate this through their links.

4. Course Rep Training

We are literally at the Course Rep training right now because we left writing our report until the last minute. We're excited to work with these course reps and see how we can secure the course rep role in the College of Law after some of the teething problems last semester.

Definitely think this is something that we should propose to be given to College Reps and it was a very helpful and brief training course.

5. CEC Update

a. Clinical Programs Selection Policy

There have been some issues over the past few years with how previous experience with a clinical program or internship affects, both positively and negatively, students' application for clinical programs. We have reviewed the new policy and are happy with it, and think it clarifies issues and some of the ambiguity regarding applications. However, both the LSS and us still have some issues with the clinical programs overall and how accessible they are to students who need to work etc. and we are following this up with COL staff.

b. Lack of LLB(Hons) Committee

We still have not established what the situation with what was previously the LLB (Hons) Committee and how we can address some LLB specific issues without taking them to CEC every time. This came after some restructuring last year/early this semester.

Joint College of Sciences

New JCOS reps for 2019!

Congratulations Ruth Purcell and Jacob McMullen!

National Science Week 2018 (long because essentially the handover doc)

JANET AND SHO SAYS MASSIVE THANK YOU TO NATIONAL SCIENCE WEEK ORGANISING COMMITTEE (in particular to event directors Yiechang Lin, Marika Niihori, Ruth Purcell and Jonah Hansen):

- Yiechang Lin
- Marika Niihori
- Ruth Purcell
- Jonah Hansen
- Mathurra Sivapalan
- Kate Gibbins
- Illiana Jain
- Angie Patajo
- Soraya Zwahlen
- Abigail Slater
- Jacob McMullen
- (and also Cam Allan/ Teach For Australia)

Also thank you Jodie Bradbury from RSPE for answering many random emails from event advice, to advertising events to things like catering. Thanks to Libbie Dinn and Malabika De from JCOS admin for helping us arrange funding and many bulk emails to science cohorts. The support was extremely helpful and without professional staff willing to help, it would have been more difficult.

Week Overview:

- Surprisingly, every event went well!
- \$4.6k budget (see below for budget breakdown)
- Ran seven events across five days: Women in Science event, Parasites Lost Comedy Show, Careers Panel, Science Fair, Joint Science Bonfire Night, Science-Wide Research/ Internships day. Some events for science students, some targeted towards exposing science to general public. Some events informative, others social/ entertainment.
- Stressful but with the 14 people organising committee it is actually sustainable

Aims (Why do it?)

• Most effective week for JCOS reps to do anything: ie the week where you'll have a lot of support to actually make things happen. The biggest support is the organising committee. This year it

comprised a lot of science club/ society executives and students involved in various organisations. Only through strong committees can you be impactful and the rest of the year JCOS reps don't have a committee. The National Science Week branding/ week long events also gives you much stronger media presence compared to stand-alone events. It is the only week where you can have a long list of volunteer sign-ups etc and the main week where you can ask for larger sums of funding. JCOS admin itself is also extremely happy to help and even ACT National Science Week gives you free shirts.

- Get to bring your own passion projects to fruition. In all honesty, being an ANU college ambassador is a more straight forward job than running this week (and they actually get paid so why would you do this instead?) but seeing things like the Women in Science lunch, where this formidable panel of academics talked about what needed to change and what inspired them and knowing that students ran the event is so satisfying. Things like the Reptile Zoo is also fun. The Research and Internships day was rewarding to run one of the academics gave feedback that four students had already approached him for research projects from the day and he wasn't even there the whole time. Watching the internship table share their wisdom was great and mutually rewarding for both the internship students and those that attended the event. Even things like Sho's livestream on Science at ANU Facebook page was so much fun to make happen. You can bring any idea to fruition and actually a lot of people are really keen to join in and create something of their own. Some of these events genuinely add a lot of value and that's why it's worth doing.
- It's a great way to get to know with clubs and societies. You are forced to have relationships with clubs and societies in order to run an effective week. They are really valuable people to have if you ever need to reach out as JCOS reps. They also have lots more experience in events etc and a lot more reach/ audience than JCOS reps.
- Make friends! Meeting the committee and lots of new faces is cool!

General Logistics/ Media

- Janet created logo and cover photos. Posters made by organising committee members. Printed NatSciWk@ANU shirts for organising committee because it makes things look established and also makes people walking advertisements. Also people work harder when you give them free stuff.
- ACT National Science Week gave us 80 free shirts and hats which was very kind. Potential for stronger collaboration/ walking groups to ACT events next year.
- Media: Ordered a banner from Officeworks, Science at ANU Facebook takeover by Janet and Sho, some Faces at ANU profiles (this could have been done better)
- Funding from SEEF, ANUSA college rep budget, JCOS admin
- Google form for Organising Committee (40+ sign-ups), Volunteers (90+ sign-ups in June), distribute via science wide email and Facebook
- ¬3 organising committee members per event. Structure that worked well for SOME (IoI) groups: Events Director, Logistics Coordinator, Marketing/Volunteers Coordinator.

Analysis of Events:

Monday 12-2 Women in Science Lunch (Marika Niihori, Kate Gibbins, Illiana Jane)

• Attendance: (¬100+ students and academics). Wasn't enough chairs people ended up standing/turned away because it was too full. Good academics/ undergrad/ HDR representation.

- Invited Deputy Dean of JCOS to come to actually meet students to see what they thought about diversity in science. This was her response to the diversity scholarship proposal at the start of the year she wanted to see that this opinion was held by others besides me. She thought it was very well run and I thank Anna for caring enough about student opinions to attend.
- Panel of respected academics from various disciplines: (Joan Licata MSI, Caroline Eakins RSES, Toby Hendy RSPE, Carola Vinuesa JCSMR, Susan Howitt RSB, Megan O'Mara RSC). All of them are also great role-models.
- Will likely become a yearly thing (can't see it not happening again, Marika is keen to do it again next year)
- Commendations to Marika Niihori for excellent event planning and professionalism. Sho also did an excellent job moderating.
- Feedback from an ANU academic (Rose also recently won ACT Scientist of the Year!)

Dear Janet, Sho, Marika, Illiana and Kate,

I came along to the women in STEM panel discussion and lunch that you organised and just wanted to reach out to say how impressed I was with the design, organisation an delivery of the event. You did a fantastic job.

You clearly put some real effort into compiling a diverse panel spanning different areas of science and different academic levels, and it was very interesting to hear their experiences and views. I thought Sho did an excellent, professional job of running the discussion - that's a hard thing to do well.

Overall, I was impressed with the professionalism of the entire event. In fact, I had assumed it was organised by the College of Science itself, until someone pointed out afterwards that it was entirely student-run. I found the discussions interesting and I'm sure it was really beneficial to students to hear other women's experiences of academia. I hope the same event will run next year.

Cheers,

Rose

Dr Rose Ahlefeldt Research Fellow Laser Physics Centre Research School of Physics and Engineering Australian National University

Monday 7-8 Alanta Colley Parasites Lost Comedy Show (Shoshana Rapley)

- Attendance: (¬40-50 students).
- Very chill to organise, pop-up is a great venue, Alanta did a great job

Tuesday 6-8 Science Careers Panel (Ruth Purcell Science Society, Cam Allan Teach For Australia)

Attendance: 60 students

Ph: +61 2 612 59274

Non-academic careers are a very important thing for students to know about. There are way more
careers than just research and a purist attitude towards research should be discouraged! Teach For
Australia handles speakers etc.

• Ran very smoothly thanks to Ruth Purcell and moderator Tim Friel. Thanks Cam Allan for organising speakers and other aspects of the event.

Wednesday 12-2 Science Fair (Shoshana Rapley, Abigail Slater, Soraya Zwahlen)

- Attendance: ¬200+ students passing by
- Reptile Zoo was a big hit, Questacon was surprisingly quiet, would have had ACT Firetruck but it was
 on a job, Science Society baked periodic table cupcakes (gone within like ten minutes), Biology
 Society ran a BBQ, Mulligans Flat also came.
- Good for exposing science to non-science students which is also an aim of the week.
- Check out Sho's livestream on the Science at ANU page it's great.

Thursday 7-10 Bonfire Night (Yiechang Lin, Mathurra Sivapalan, Jacob McMullen)

- Attendance: 60-70 students
- Never ever ever ever EVER ever EVER try and do an alcoholic bonfire night. Even though it was the EXACT SAME FORMAT as the semester one alcoholic bonfire night WHICH HAD ZERO ISSUES it was still a big hassle (two long weeks of many unreturned emails, six calls to Functions on Campus, hour long ANU Security meeting only for them to require fencing, hiring ANU security, bag checks etc. etc.) (Though it was my fault that I didn't submit the Functions form earlier which would have made it less stressful/ might have left more time for approval.)
- Ended up canning the alcohol for a wholesome hot chocolate night which was much nicer you don't need alcohol to have fun! For those that did want a night out, Science Society collaborated with Moose for an afterparty paid for by ticket sales.
- Had Woroni Dj's, s'mores, BBQ, hot chocolate station etc, was very well run.
- Extreme commendations to Yiechang for pulling this off logistically difficult, requires collaboration and volunteers from lots of societies, we would not have had the skills to do it ourselves!

Friday 1:30-3:30 Science Wide Research/ Internships Day (Janet Zhong, Jonah Hansen, Angela Patajo, Mathurra Sivapalan)

- Attendance: 200 students
- Had 60 academics/ HDR students from all research schools. Poster exhibit around the walls of the room worked well. Modelled off RSPE Market Day but for every other discipline.
- Internship table had students who had done internships from Health Institute, Centre of Public Awareness for Science (ANU), Science Marketing (ANU), CSIRO Summer Internship, A*STAR (Research Internship Singapore), DSTO (Defence Science and Technology Melbourne), IMC Summer Trading (Sydney) and a Genetic Counselling Internship at The Canberra Hospital. The internship table got really busy, students seemed to really appreciate this aspect of the day, recommend again for next year. Placing them at the back of the room (so they walk past the other research schools and talk to more people) was a good call.
- Originally planned for Sports Hall, ended up in STB S1 and S2 as the tables naturally divided in groups and was a bit more of a social setting than Sports Hall. Worked a lot better but had to split in two rooms.
- Reaching out to research schools was difficult and involved many bulk and targeted emails. Mail
 merge became my best friend. Interestingly, some research schools were much more willing to be
 involved than others. It also really made me appreciate those academics that get involved in

- community events/ helped share things around. We heartily thank all academics and HDR students that volunteered their time to share their experiences to undergraduates.
- The key to a higher response rate asking for people to sign up for stuff is to pick out people in roles
 of responsibility e.g. head of research groups and ask them to sign someone else up in their group if
 they can't go. The more personalised the message (including their name from mail merge rather
 than a mass email) and changing aspects of the message for their discipline yielded a higher
 response rate
- Many thanks to Jonah for pulling things together as I became more unorganised/busy closer to the event (and for like 500,00,00+ emails, creating a carefully thought out table plan at 1am the day before and running the show on the day and for all around being fantastic).

Friday 6-9 ANU After Dark (Shoshana Rapley, Soraya Zwahlen)

- Most popular individual event on Facebook for the entire week! (-350 clicked interested)
- Walk around ANU/ Black Mountain
- Good value and a nice and chill way to meet people!

Recommendations for Next Year

- SEEF can only be used for two consecutive years National Science Week used to be a separate budget for JCOS reps from ANUSA but got canned last year? Would make things easier but also better preparation would have been better/ perhaps a meeting with Mariah would have helped. Eg, JCOS only told me you could get the funding in advance about two days before the week started. SEEF transfers half the grant beforehand but not having a debit card like a society is really annoying if you want to use ANUSA funds/ college rep budget. It is a fairly large budget and students should not be taking on large personal costs for reimbursements, even though the reimbursement process shouldn't have any issues.
- There is only so much marketing power you can have and if you have too many events, you spread out the marketing between them. Perhaps four main events is the best option (it would also make things a bit easier). Perhaps a focus should be on events that work best in National Science Week: Women in Science, Science Fair, Research/ Internships Day and a science wide social.
- Book Pop-Up like a year in advance for Thursday night of National Science Week if you want to do a Thursday social event.
- Start earlier so there is time for an event planning day with the organising committee before the winter holidays. It is also beneficial because people can meet you to find out about the JCOS rep role which makes it more accessible/ you get to work with people before election season/ before ticket cherry-picking happens. Potential JCOS candidacy is a good incentive to get people to sign up for organising committee but it might be awkward if you have opposing candidates running events/ they will also be very busy during the week with elections.
- It can be stressful and it is easy to have things blow-up. Most important skill is being able to give people in the organising committee credit and freedom to run their own ideas (ie dropping your own ego). People management skills are really important because with strong personalities (club execs), there is a fairly large potential for people to clash.

- College rep at the end of the day is a voluntary position. If you do not want to do this week you are more than entitled to not do anything or have a call-out for a National Science Week Director or something like that. You are already giving up your time and energy and you can do what you want!! It is okay to want to enlist another director/ friend for help and this can actually be an incredibly smart move!
- At the end of the day it was worth it learnt a lot and very valuable but we did find it quite stressful.

Budget/ Expenditure:

Budget: SEEF (\$2000), JCOS (\$1700), Remaining ANSUA JCOS Rep Budget (\$900),

TOTAL BUDGET: \$4600

ACTUAL EXPENDITURE: \$4233.37

No	Supplier	GST Amount	Total Amount (include	Notes
			GST)	16 Printed Shirts
	Speedy Tees Officeworks			Banner
	Officeworks		\$40.00	Banner
	Subway		\$627.00	Women in Science Catering 100
	Coles		\$38.30	Women in Science Drinks
	Go Vita Jamison		\$47.70	Women in Science Gifts
	Jamison Plaza News		\$6.00	Women in Science Cards
	Go Vita Jamison		\$15.00	Women in Science Cups
	Alanta Colley		\$250.00	Comedy Night
	Jamison Plaza News			Comedy Night gift
	Dominos			Careers Panel Pizza
	Dominios		Ç242.00	Cure Cr3 r uner r 122u
	Questacon		\$450.00	Science Fair Questacon
	Canberra Reptile Zoo		\$450.00	Science Fair Reptile Zoo
	Woolworths		\$171.35	Science Fair BBQ
	Science Society		\$250.00	Science Fair Cakes
	ACT Liquor		\$49.00	Bonfire Night- Access Canberra
	Woroni			Bonfire Night- Woroni Di
	Woolworths		\$168.51	Bonfire Night- BBQ
	???			Bonfire Night- Marshmellow/Ot
	Costco		\$592.00	Research Day Catering 150 peo
	Officeworks			Research Day Name Badges/ Bl
	Coles			Research Day Extra Supplies
	Dominos			ANU After Dark Catering
	Total expenditures	\$0.00	\$4,233.37	

College Education Committee Meeting 4 (31st July)

PhB Science Progression Requirement Change

- Last year there was a discussion to change the PhB progression requirement from 80 to 75 (BSci changed from 75 to 70). This has been an ongoing discussion since mid-2017 and has already changed for PhB CASS (which is being disestablished?), PhB CAP and other degrees with a hurdle. PhB Science was the only one yet to change.
- Our response as ANUSA reps in CEC 2 was that it would be controversial, and we didn't think our
 views could be representative of everyone because we expected responses to range a lot (this
 turned out to be true). In a survey done a few years ago, PhB students voted against the change.
 Before going through with the change, we asked JCOS to ask for feedback and JCOS committee

listened - Uli (PhB Science Coordinator) released an email to all PhB Science students asking for feedback. Uli and JCOS were still compiling a report in CEC 3 and it again came up for discussion in CEC 4 (this meeting).

- The feedback was close to a 50:50 split amongst PhB students, with later years mostly against the change and first years mostly for the change. The PhB Science Committee (academics from each discipline) also had a practically even split with some polarising and opposing views. The biggest argument for the change was that it would massively reduce stress levels which is extremely important PhB can be known for an intensive and elitist atmosphere and can lead to a culture that produces competition and poor mental health. It can often be contradictory because friendship groups in PhB can be both supportive and unhealthy. This also does vary by cohort. It was also argued that high performing students were intrinsically driven and would still perform well regardless of the change. The biggest argument against the change was that students found the HD requirement to be motivating and that it was part of the reputation of the degree. The change might also affect supervisor to student ratios.
- Our capacity as JCOS reps was to make sure everyone got heard via feedback. Our view was that it
 would be a lot harder to change back if they decided it didn't work well in later years but that we
 still cared a lot more about student wellbeing than prestige. We also think changing the progression
 requirements does not fix the mental health/ culture issues within cohorts. The decision was
 ultimately not within our control but we were happy JCOS asked for feedback.
- In CEC 4, the JCOS committee endorsed the change from 80 to 75, though the effect has not taken place yet and it must still go through several approval processes. After the approval processes occur, JCOS will release updated guidelines online, so please keep an eye out for that before noting accepting any news as final. There was also confirmation that there will be considerations for rural students looking for admission in the degree which is hugely positive news as ATAR is incredibly dependent on the school you go to. The exact details of how this change will come about/ technicalities have not been confirmed. During the meeting, Sho also shut down an uncomfortable remark that PhB students were the some of the best resources, reminding the committee that some BSci students can and do get higher grades than PhB students and that high performing students don't always choose PhB (eg double degree or wanting to do honours elsewhere/ other reasons).
- Feedback about the degree to PhB Science Enquiries is always welcome anytime throughout the
 year. If anything, this process gave new suggestions that can improve things. Eg, we recommended
 to at least have a Batyr session at PhB Induction Camp as in First Year Camps.

College of Business and Economics

Victor Sukeerth Munagala and Simone Richardson

No report was received.

College of Asia Pacific

Mali Walker and Evie Sharman

ANUSA Elections

Our College this year saw the only contested election - which we think speaks to the really engaged and active nature of our small College, and shows we have some great students who are passionate about student representation. Congratulations to Kai Clark and Alison Wong for being elected as the incoming representatives, and to Lauren Kightly and Dominic Harvey-Taylor for running fantastic campaigns. We're hoping to run a BBQ with the new representatives to introduce them in Term 4.

Security Review

We have organised a meeting with the President of Association of Defence and Security Studies and the SDSC Student Representatives to get their input into the survey we are creating for the security review. We hope to have the first draft of the survey completed soon.

Diploma of Languages Working Group

The first iteration of the Diploma of Languages Working Group was a huge success - thank you to the CASS Reps and Education Committee for your support! A turn out of around 20 including staff which was fantastic to see. We are in the early stages of forging what this campaign will look like.

If you're interested in getting involved in this campaign, join the Organising group below: https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=1831626313592122

Read the minutes from the first meeting here: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1DOIBTpcdV1H5cyvUOjv655WNnMxAdbxFIKRdNqQ7MHc/edit

And stay tuned for our Facebook page for updates, the next meeting will be held on Tuesday 28th of August at 9am @ Street Theatre Cafe. You can also join the Mailing list by emailing sa.cap@anu.edu.au or messaging our Facebook page.

CAPEC 5

We both attended CAPEC 5 and provided some insights on various issues. We had prepared a summary of results from our survey 'Scheduling of courses in non-standard sessions.' We were unable to present this due to time limits but will present it at CAPEC 6.

CAP Gender Equity and Diversity Committee

We both attended the first Gender Equity and Diversity committee meeting, and are hoping to push for a college-wide CAP Gender Equity and Diversity Action Plan. We'd love to hear if other College representatives have similar experiences in these committees and how effective they are within their own College, as well as whether they have an Action Plan/how effective it has been at generating structural changes.

Gender neutral bathrooms and accessibility of menstrual products for students within CAP areas

Currently in the process of discussing with CAP how our physical spaces can better reflect the needs of students, especially in light of the Coombs refurbishment project.

At this stage, something that we'd like to see is more gender neutral bathrooms which are clearly mapped/labelled for students to access, and is something we plan on bringing to the College-wide 20

gender committee.

We're also inquiring into how sanitary products for students who menstruate can be more accessible within area of CAP Facilities - whether this be by being located within bathrooms which students know, or publicising locations which already exist.

Course Representatives Training

A big thank you to Tess for attending the CAP Course Representatives training!

Unfortunately Eleanor received notice that CAP will not be running course reps this semester. We are pretty confused and disappointed about this given CAP's enthusiasm for the program last semester, and the introduction of a CAP Course Rep Guidelines at CAPEC 5. We will follow this up with the College.

Monthly meeting with Jennah

We had our first of Sem 2 monthly meetings with Jennah (from Student Mobility and Support). She is acting on behalf of CAP administration to discuss with us issues in the College. We discussed a few key things

- CAP mobility programs (including scheduling of non-standard courses)
- How best to advocate for changes to problematic compulsory courses (Jennah is following up on some important stuff for us here)
- Diploma of Languages Campaign
- Jennah also highlighted for us some key areas in which we should be paying close attention and be providing student feedback (course review procedures, Operational Plan).

Meeting with Dr Nich Farrelly

We met with Dr Nich Farrelly who is currently acting Dean. He sought our feedback on a few key things happening within the college. We are really thankful to Nich for seeking our thoughts and for his genuine commitment to bring students along as part of any developments in the college.