

EXECUTIVE MEETING

Date: 27-2-23

Time Opened: 4:06

Attending:

Apologies:

Minutes: Phi

Time Closed: 5:16pm

AGENDA ITEMS

1. Acknowledgement of country
2. Administration
 - a. Accepting the previous meeting's minutes
 - b. Declaration of conflicts of interest
 - c. Executive decisions since the last executive meeting
 - d. Declaration of confidential agenda items
3. Portfolio reports
4. ANU Committees
5. WHS/EAP reminder
6. Matters for discussion
7. Matters for decision

MINUTES

Acknowledgement of country

Grace gave an Acknowledgement of country

Administration

Accepting the previous meeting's minutes

No dissent

Declaration of conflicts of interest

Executive decisions since the last executive meeting

N/A

Declaration of confidential agenda items

Portfolio reports

Ben

- PCG
 - o Consultations
 - o Looking like we will get to decision point in about a fortnight
- Night Café
- Vending
- Sick on Friday 😞

BY: graduate house and toad are keen to run consults there.

Night café meeting – discussion item

Grace

- Night café
- Working group on recording of teaching
- Student Forum
- Class rep training
- BKSS

GK: What the bike element of Night Café may look like.

WG on recording had no decisions/outcomes.

Student forum was about mental health strategy – uneventful.

Class rep training quite a lot of work – updated policies and scheduled it.

Renovation work on the BKSS.

Kat

- OGM Budget
 - o Might change some amounts, and will reach out to you all to ask!
- PCG SSAF Proposal
- SRC Profit and Loss on the website
- ANUSA Audit
- Vending machine

KH: Preparing for OGM budget with PCG SSAF proposal – will be confirmed this week.

I said in my polic it would be great to have SRC profit and loss on website other than just SRC meetings – it's now on the website!

Vending machines – had two options for providers, as commercial services really wanted us to include all reshalls to have the same vending machines but apparently residential experiences have a contract with other vendors – have to check the options for now

Phi

- SRC took up a vast majority of my hours
- CRC
- GERWG
- Vicarious trauma training

PO: GERWG went alright, got great attendance (5 ppl). With spending caps, people don't think it needs to be changed. If there is another one on Friday I would appreciate more exec attendance, hard to chair at the same time.

Bea

- Been supporting Rex with the SS4C support
- Art History Open forum
- SRC and CRC

BT: Working on SS4C support and speakers.

Art history open forum was called with only 4 days notice, didn't happen due to fire alarm and agreed to have it in 4 weeks time with advance notice

Kai

- Counselling wants to integrate student feedback into service delivery – meeting tomorrow.
- ACT Budget consultation coming up – let me know if you have any priorities to add.
- Bus battery is charged ⚡⚡.

KDB: Community organisations – meant to send 3 top priorities and speak for a minute each.

CC: we talked about chatgpt for an hour at CRC (it's true)

Charlotte

- O-Week feedback form is out, I'll report anything noteworthy there next week.
- Debriefed on the party this morning, nothing was revelatory.
- Mostly just been clearing my post O-Week inbox
- A lot of my hours went to various meetings about wrapping up O-Week and talking to clubs.

CC: a lot of meetings about o-week debriefing, lots of hours went to meetings.

ANU Committees

BY: Academic board steering committee

Phoebe went to student safety and wellbeing committee on my behalf – SASH prevention strategy reviewed by external consults and we will be consulted on that. New consent module only 45% of students have done it. Statistics update on SASH was not very good

KH: Kambri reference group

CC: theyre putting a science mural on AD Hope

PO: last year I asked for ANUSA to be consulted - I missed meetings near the end of the year tho

CC: my problem is as an arts student

BT: should I send an email

CC: in consultation with another group, students are doing it but it's a competition

KH: also I talked to ben about this, they want to advertise game on with ANUSA

CC: not happening

BY: want us to promote it in our newsletter

BT: you are allowed to say no

CC: relationships with stakeholders and maintaining them is quite crucial

BT: maybe in those circumstances can we tag team it – point of us sitting on them is to state our dissent

Student First

BY: mapping all services in the uni to create a directory of all services – probed a lot and it seems benign, a website w every place a student cant get support, they want data to understand when peaks are for different services so it can be elevated on the website. Doesn't seem harmful

Working group on recording of teaching

Student Forum

TLDC

BY: does anyone have dissent to doing it

GK: they kept talking about things they were talking about in TLDC in my WGs.

CC: have a clash in class – 9:30-11:30 2 hours on a thursday

BY: could you send me the secretariats name

WHS/EAP reminder

BY: office is a bit messy, keep an eye on it, try not to contribute to it – balcony is also part of that, make sure that somebody is responsible for getting things back to it

KDB: there are some lights on the wheelchair lift that was draining the bus battery – when using the wheelchair lift in the future make sure to turn it off!

Matters for discussion

Cycle/Night Café

BY: update from meeting – had a meeting with james and people from commercial services who deal w tenancies on campus, as we discussed last time PARSA shut parsa cycles bc it's about to go insolvent. Two things for them – desperate to have something open in that space and urgently, and that they're prepared to design commercial terms to make that possible. We need very favourable commercial terms bc we need a good space for students and student unionism and a physical front but can't afford it.

Second thing they said is that brian thinks its important that theres a bike service in the middle of campus so it's a necessary condition for what we do. I think that's super doable - doesn't have to be a bike shop café, just has to be that you can access something bike related on campus on a regular basis. I think the most obvious way to do that is to reach out to recyclery see if they can operate a stall outside of the night café - means we're not competing with bike shops in braddon, not selling

bikes, not cluttering the space with bikes, and great skill for students which is good and nice. Also helps recyclery get on campus in general

BT: main thing is, storage involved and tools and stuff, recyclery has kits they can bring. In terms of stock never selling – we should have some way we can order stock in on special order, they do that at recyclery anyway, if people don't have parts what do they do. We can reach out to bicycle garden in sydney who taught recyclery so if we need extra help. If it goes well I think if we need a space we should see if we can get a tiny shopfront later but just trial it in this way, it may be too much for that space with the café. Just worried about recyclery disappearing

GK: writing a full report now, may sell locks and bells behind counter, have tools and things that students can use (like bike pumps) for one off uses that staff can operate outside. Will send everyone the full proposal when I've made it

BT: suggestion for proposal – instead of having bike locks getting ANU to instal the things that they have – would be good to have it next to bike stall. Also, do really think it's a tricky endeavour to have multiple bike racks to suspend. Maybe talk to recyclery first, get full list of equipment, obsessed with bikes and can help if needed.

GK: going to be a loss-making activity, going to have to figure out how much

BT: neutral cost

BY: the key new story is that in many ways they're happy for us to bring a proposal that meets our needs – and then they'll come to the party.

KDB: sounds really awesome – just wanted to put it out there that I'm inclined to bare minimum bike requirement as much as possible. Making a loss is strike against café

GK: ANU is willing to cop the loss, Brian wants a small something, they understand it's a loss making activity. Totally agree

BT: If we want to run a neutral bike service thing once a week/once a fortnight that would be great. Servicing on campus is much more convenient. I think we don't have to sell anything, just have people make sure they can ride their bike. Don't think we'll lose money if we think about it

PO: A few students have been left in the lurch by PARSA cycles closing

BY: way to make this make sense - don't think that the bike shop and café should be seen as rolled into one. That doesn't make sense. What does make sense is a night café that runs skill building services – so if we have café facilities we can run a barista course in there. Can do how to adult in there on relevant topics. We don't just want to run a business because we want more money, we should be doing this because it builds the union – do that by providing a place to connect to students. Classes that build student skills to help themselves and personal empowerment, that's consistent with our mission and a money grab isnt. Skilling students to look after their own bikes makes sense.

BT: I was going to propose last week that we should still run a bike service or make sure the ANU does – do you think we can ask them for one of the small spaces at a cheap rate, so small as a cite that needs a kitchen, it's going to be a real squeeze, that's not actually filling the need of students in the lurch. Did notice that I've seen so many bikes stolen for parts in the last weeks since it closed –

never had that on campus before. People can't fix bikes on campus. Do think there's a real need for convenient that's a bit more regular than a workshop – don't know if there's room, it's a lot to take on.

GK: happy to work on it

Night Café Coordinating Group

BY: all of us are entitled to engage in this space, but someone has to do the brunt of the work, has naturally become Kat and Grace – any dissent to it staying that way

BT: what I don't want it to be is proposals written and then we see the more close to the end draft report without things being able to be changed.

GK: Not going to do any decision making

BY: timeline we're working on, draft business plan in a month, finalised business plan 2 weeks after that, can pretty much put it on the agenda each week and report back

PO: political and artistic choices – would love input, students may want input, but I know that's further down the line

GK: yeah after business proposal

KDB: thank you for doing that!

KH: I think some gen reps are quite interest in night café projects

GK: I'll talk to them once we get past the logistics phrase

Matters for decision

Clubs funding %

KH: we have numbers and have to decide

PO: [explanation of funding here]

CC: we have 105 clubs including provisionally affiliated clubs and kat's done this math based off the non-ideal, that percentage is 1476 – can choose between 2-5%. Ranges from 4500 to 9200. I'm inclined to go with the highest option because realistically the number we've calculated includes clubs not eligible for funding, clubs that don't request funding. I looked at clubs bank statements through reaffiliation, very few go over the funding limit so we actually need to keep an eye on clubs actually using it, very few clubs apply for grants bigger than 500 so would rather keep it at a higher funding limit for clubs that do balls and whatnot

PO: are you sure

CC: We can change the percentage limit

KDB: what percentage of the whole clubs line was used last year

CC: the line was 150 last year but didn't hit it- about 120

KDB: getting close but not quite there? Yeah let's go for the highest because I don't see why not

CC: there's an argument to be made – first year clubs have been recovering from covid in financial sense, could be argued that clubs are spending more but we can't really know that at this rate and if we are getting close, we can always reduce the percentage.

KH: we used 132,000 including union ball

CC: 10k put aside for clubs training, that doesn't cost anything, but that usually goes to union ball

BY: consensus?

CC: I want it to be 5%?

BT: how many new clubs affiliate throughout a year

CC: maybe phi can speak to this but as more clubs join this percentage limit can go down. Always interest in creating new clubs a lot of people don't do that

PO: I probably had 3-5 actually go through

CC: having checked your market day to this year's market day – not many. And clubs disaffiliate – with affiliation running again that's more likely

BT: just to throw it out – is it nicer to put it at 4% and raise it to 5%

PO: what is 4% total

CC: 7,600

PO: two main limits on grants run at about 4000, shouldn't be under 8000

CC: clubs budget with this in mind

BT: we could do 4.5% and bump it up to 5 if we have the money?

BY: I personally do favour that just because frankly there's so much uncertainty in the budget.

KH: 4.5 is 8,451.19 which is not a huge difference

PO: how are we going to communicate the difference

CC: I will send out an email with that number

KH: grants on qpay – can we announce on Qpay

PO: oop - the number of affiliated clubs at the beginning of the third week of the first semester

Decision made!

ANU MSA bus hire

GK: we are supposed to get exec decisions on bus hire – this will probably change, are we happy

No dissent

RSSS bus hire

GK: phd students doing a writers retreat

No dissent

Decision on bus hire

GK: would like to say that VP/P can make decisions about bus hires that are related to ANU student groups, externals will come to exec on decision making. Waiting a week to hear a response

BT: can it be ANU student groups rather than ANU groups? Bus regs

PO: where are the bus policy

GK: only I have them - I'll be updating them

BT: bus was hired heaps last year without us doing that process

BT: can we have a report back

PO: can all the policy pls be accessible thank u

GK: ya!

No dissent