



Australian
National
University



AGENDA – ANUSA ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING (AGM) 2023

Wednesday, 3 May 2023

6:15pm, Zoom (with in-person option in the Graneek Room)

Item 1: Meeting Opens and Apologies

1.1 Acknowledgement of Country

1.2 Apologies

1.3 Chair outlines standing orders for the meeting (please also see the following:
<https://anusa.com.au/pageassets/about/meetings/ANUSA-Standing-Orders-Guide.pdf>)

Hashtag for this meeting is #ANUSAAGM

Item 2: Passing the previous meetings minutes

2.1 [AGM 2022](#)

Moved: Luke

Seconded: Ben

Procedural to consider item 4, then 5.3, 5.5, 5.6 before the rest of the agenda.

Moved by Maddi

Passes

Phi: earlier today, declined to receive a new motion. Harry Danton would like to dissent the chair. Chair passes to Ben.

Ben walks thru the complexities of what's going on.

Phi made a ruling earlier today, and it is being considered. We are now considering a motion "that the chair's decision be upheld."

For the motion to success, three fifths must vote in favour.

Harry: more ... continues further down the doc

Item 3: Reports

3.1 President's report (B. Yates) [Reference A]

BY: This will largely focus on internal. First step towards moving to strike action, fantastic. Need to keep up staff student solidarity. 13th May Nakbar rally, great opportunity to show solidarity with Palestine. ANUSA Alumni are keen to support our work, Financial Review Committee drew attention to the need to renew policy. Reserves are moving into a position where we have a higher annual budget. We currently operate on having one year worth of reserves. These have grown and we need a strategy of how to grow our reserves and when to use them to benefit the Association. This will be brought to SRC later this year. Non-exec SRC reps haven't been completely engaged, it's something we're working on for the sustainability when we win and close the loop, show how and why we got those wins to build an activist strategy

Announced publicly today that we won funding for postgrad funding. We have ANU support to be the representative body, support for our governance changes (nearly), and funding til the end of 2023. We don't have certainty about 2024 funding but moving to the end of this year we'll operate off a 3 year agreement. We are being well funded into this expansion. Now we can bid for reserve money and what would have been PARSA money. For the 3 year agreement, we want all of the postgrad funds annually.

Ben Moves procedural for 2 minutes speaking time - Passes

More democratic approach running the merger through an SGM. The timeline, no one is losing positions, then next semester the SRC will expand to Post Grad positions, and at election both Post and Under Grad positions will be up for election by all students.

Isabella (Q): I'm really glad you kept the Parents and Carers role. With the welfare officer, PARSA had purview over their area before. What's gonna happen?

Ben: It's very unlikely we'll have a welfare officer who specifically has a parents and carers aim so that's why the parents and carers officer will exist, also thinking about a committee.

Luke: 50% NUS SSAF campaign

Ben: WA unions where it says that minimum, they only get 50% SSAF. The unis feel like that's all they have to give them. We went into this and demanded the money, the NUS should take the same approach with the national system. It makes sense to do it at 100%. I understand that if we sign a three year

agreement for even 60% we will be one of the best funded unions in the country. We should never pale in our ambition.

Sarah: In your written report you said you succeeded in how you decreased grant spending?

Ben: we will never not give a grant to someone who'd in need. We saw that over COVID people's expectation of how much the link, it would be more economical for us to sit a staff member down with them for a couple hours and show them how to do that. Our grants should focus on international students. We're not centrelink.

Phoebe: Ben has talked about engagement issues, does Ben have any ideas how about maintaining engagement within the postgrad college cohorts?

Ben: If college has a 100 PhD students, it's unlikely we have a student form each student every year. We may not have issues with some colleges with many students. This is a long-term thing. If no postgrad gets close to the exec in years, this may be a cultural problem to look at.

No other questions

Mover: Sarah

Secunder: Charlotte

Motion Passes

3.2 Treasurer's report (K. Ha) [Reference B]

Katrina: Mostly taken as read. Most important things said by Ben. becomes more stricter after covid and that's why it's decrease

Q: Are you coming to an AGM with another budget, last year we passed a good and bad budget, ANUSA got even more money, so will there be another budget that reflects the circumstances which are better than even the good budget?

Katrina: Additional money for the July-November budget. More adjustments.
The new budget will be passed at the SGM.

Motion passes

Procedural for 15 min break by Maddie - passes

Chair passes to Ben Yates, no dissent

Ben explains the roles which are going to be elected and read out a statement from the one candidate who has nominated for all three positions.

Item 4: Elections

3.1 Election of FRC members

Luca Ittamani elected - no dissent

3.2 Election of Disputes Committee Members

Luca Ittamani elected - no dissent

3.3 Election of Probity Officers

Luca Ittamani elected - no dissent

Chair returns to Phoenix, no dissent

Item 5: Motions on notice

Motion 5.1: ANUSA 2022 Audited Financial Statement

ANUSA notes the audited financial statement for the year ended 30 November 2022 in Reference C.

Mover: Katrina Ha

Seconder: Ben Yates

Katrina: We have our audited statement for 2022, our financial health is really good, We have an operating surplus of \$30,000, we have used all the ssaf money. We are told reserve i roughly \$2.6M, but we want to overcome to dependence on ssaf money. We have other methods (vending machines) and sponsorship.

Ben: Draw attention

Katrina: Clarifies that what Ben is talking about can be found in the report available.

No questions

Katrina doesn't use right of reply

Passes

Motion 5.2: DSA Co-Officers Stipend Increase

Preamble:

As it stands, the DSA is the only department constitutionally permitted to have two co-officers job sharing the role of department officer. After consultation with our collective and ANUSA executive members, we have concluded that the current structure and perception of the co-officer situation is flawed, meaning the finances are also flawed.

Currently, co-officers are perceived as being two people who do half the job of a sole officer- that is, working at half capacity and working half the hours. Our experience in this role is that this is deeply untrue.

The autonomous nature of departments means any co-officers who do this job MUST be disabled (not to say other department officers aren't, but it's not an inherent role requirement for the other department officers). Thiars. Someone with a disability doing this job alone is inherently more difficult, thus why co-officers were permitted in the first place for DSA. However, the co-officers are not dsomeone paid double (please see our reported hours in SRC reports as evidence, and happy to provide breakdowns of our timesheets as further evidence).

The DSA officers full statement (including a list of FAQs) can be found on this document: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cC77iUykBo8iideJ9Ccv43wwsv0jnoAuUwtfF_t31eQ/edit?usp=sharing

Motion:

ANUSA will make the following changes to the Finance Regulations:

Add: 4.4.2 (a) to state: Where the DSA has co-officers, the maximum is \$35,000.

Add: 4.4.3 (a) to state: Where the DSA has co-officers, the officers receive 86% of the total pool.

Mover: Ben Yates

Seconder: Grace King

Ben: Motion says DSA is only department under constitution allowed to have co-officers. When this is the case their stipeney do. May have less capacity to take on other work while taking on the role at the DSA.

Grace: Echoing Ben. Supports this motion. A great step to equalising how we pay our officers and supporting this co-officer system. Ensuring as a union that we practise what we preach about pay for workers and supporting compensation that is fair.

Friendly amendment on notice:

Substitute "Disabilities Department" for "DSA".

No dissent to amendment

Phoebe (FOR): totally backs this. In case of co-officers, it's not as simple as splitting the work down the middle. Certain things that need to do so that the work is not necessarily halved

Pariaa (FOR): Reiterate point.

Azraa (FOR): THis motion is great, and being considerate that we're getting more funding and therefore considering getting more funding/ stirtment officers. Been here long enough to know the burden that these officers do to ensure collectives feel safe on this campus so totally support this.

(FOR): not had much experience with other collectives/ officers. Been in DSA for year and a half, Have worked a course in the whole university. It's an enormous job, so they are doing a large amount of work.

Woroni (Question): Clarifying whether whole DSA gets the whole stipend or whether its split between departments

Ben (Answer): yes

Question: in terms of co-officers generally, are there provisions for things for other departments too?

Ben: Under the con, DSA is the only departmentt who can have co officers, recently some dept have co officers but have shared the loweg forward, we can figure out how to make this work.

No other speakers

Ben doesn't exercise right of reply.

Motion passes

Motion 5.3: Special General Meeting

ANUSA will hold a Special General meeting on Wednesday 24 May to consider matters related to postgraduate expansion and otherf the Association.

Mover: Ben Yates

Seconder: Mira Robson

Ben: will talk about postgrad expansion in report, but safe to say looking forward to being able to represent postgrad students and things have settled.

Mira: nothing to add.

No other speakers

Ben does not exercise right of reply

Motion passes.

Motion 5.4.1: Financial Review Committee Report

The meeting accepts the report of the Financial Review Committee.

The report can be found [here](#).

Mover: Ben Yates

Seconder: Katrina Ha

Ben: Riley, chair of financial rina and Ben have responded to the work of the committee, thanks Riley for all his work preparing financial report.

Katrina: mostly similar to what Ben says. Report was wonderful and thanks Riley for doing that.

No speakers for or against.

No questions

Ben doesnt exercise right of reply

Motion passes

Motion 5.4.2: President and Treasurer Response to Financial Review Committee

The meeting notes the response by the President and Treasurer to the Financial Review Committee Report.

The response can be found [here](#).

Mover: Ben Yates

Seconder: Katrina Ha

Ben: I won't go through it. Highlights things intended to do. We will work to review policy suite, initial draft of long term financial strategy, present to SRC. happy for Qs.

Katrina: Nothing to say

No speakers against. No speakers for.

Phoebe (Question): the report talked about WG or committee. Believed it'd be delegated to another group. Is there a commitment to do this work?

Ben (Answer): Katrina and him to do work with consultation. Doing by committee is not perhaps the most easy thing. If people are passionate about financial governance great

Ben does not exercise right of reply

Motion passes

Motion 5.5: Election regulation changes - campaigning zones

Chair passes to Ben, no dissent

Preamble:

The Governance and Election Review Working Group has been reviewing election exclusion zones on the mandate of the 2022 SRC. The group has recommended that exclusion zones remain intact, but to remove more 'passive' elements on all areas of campus, while active campaigning will continue to be excluded from the stipulated areas.

Motion:

Amend s 3.1.3A of the election regulations to:

3.1.3A For the purposes of 3.1.3, 'actively canvassing votes' includes:

engaging, communicating with or involving any other occupants of the space beyond the concerned ticket or candidassment of or a significant disturbance to other occupants including by excessively overcrowding the space with persons or other objects.

Add s 3.1.3A to the election regulations, reading:

3.1.3B For the purposes of 3.1.3, 'actively canvassing votes' does not include:

taking photographs or filming social media and video content where such content production does not promote the relevant ticket or candidates/s for the inducement of votes to any other occupants of the space in any way;

Putting up posters; and

Wearing attire related to the election.

Mover: Phoenix O'Neill

Seconder: Sarah Strange

Phi: have been discussing in WG since last year, there has been lots of debate about exclusion zones and changes, there are mo, proposing to remove both of these things.n of 'actively canvassing' and another section about not actively canvassing.

Sarah: nothing to add

Chris (for): Some of these reforms are common sense. Get rid of the antidemocratic status quo that gets rid of many zones. Quite inadequate as a motion and we should be getting rid of more of the exclusion zones currently

No other speakers, Phi does not use right of reply

Motion passes

Motion 5.6: Abolish exclusion zones

Preamble

Exclusion zones were introduced in 2016, limiting the campaigning to only a small part of Union Court, then the heart of campus. They were massively expanded in 2019 by the incumbent ticket, to include

Kambri, Melville Hall, Copland, Chifley area, the Tjabal Centre, Childers Street, the footpath by Uni Ave, libraries, teaching spaces, Daley Road, and Hancock Bridge.

Specific exclusion zone opposite – to limit contestation in student elections.

Every other time of year, ANUSA departments, socialist campaigners, NTEU unionists, refugee activists and many others leaflet and studenaign in Kambri in a way that is highly disruptive to foot traffic and dominates the space with political and commercial discussions. As it currently stands, week, but bans its own members from campaigning the next?

Already, the university cracks down on our right to free speech and political organisation. Student unions need to be part of the ftral areas of campus, during a crucial period of political debate for the union, is nothing short of authoritarian.

There exist codes of conduct for campaigners to follow which expressly prohibit harassment and discrimination. This, in additioles that might be destructive to the union. The greater the additional restrictions on top of these sufficient checks; the less free and democratic elections can be.

There are next to nonetworks, such as incumbent tickets. In order for ANUSA elections to be as free of bias and democratic as possible, exclusion zones should be abolished.

Motion

Remove election regulations section 3.1.3 “It is an offence to actively canvass votes within the areas specified in Schedule B to these regulations”, and Schedule B.

Mover: Nick Reich

Seconder: Yerin Park

Nick: Every other student union does not have restrictive zones. Around the world this doesn't exist, except for China and Rups. This is not historically true for ANUSA and the expansion of this only happened in 2019 by an incumbenltitl positions. There has been discussion specifically around Kambri and discusand politically for the Kambri.

The fact that this idea is jettisoned focracry is crucial to the functioning of our union. The right for students to campaign for their beliefs against an incumbent ticket.

Yerin Park: These regulations have been ghfare of students and this is quite disproportionate. Other things that happen, eg in OWeek and Bush Week, including corporate stalls that are brought onto campus and these can even been more disruptive to campus. We havent tried to ban oweek or bush week from kambri/ campus for accessibility con

Procedural for Maddi to have extra 2 minutes to speak

Mover: Maddi

Motion passes without dissent

Maddi: There are two parts to this. People to vote this down because people cannot have time to consult the collective to form a position on this.

This is an ableist move. It is disgusting that despite repeated conversations iterating that the collective doesn't want this change, people are still moving motions like this. There is a difference between individuals and groups; cathing is more important than the rights of the marginalised students on campus and we should be voting down this ableist motion.

Isabella (for): Speaking in capacity as accommodations we need to do what we want. Don't want this line to be taken up by any ableists, but that is the narrative that wants to cut short and don't want it to be continued. Do not want narrative that disabled people can't be political and can't do political work. So Kambri as a non-exclusion zone in the future, but needs lots more work.

Mira (against): Following Maddi, largely people trying to avoid the stalls during the elections meaning people are traveling further, which can further enough energy to go to class and come home and do certain things. There are also things like sensory

Sarah (for): I frequently attend DSA meetings, they're typically positive. This motion won't pass. What we should do after the motion is meet to the best put by Nick. Putting around flyers are not harassment. Campaigning restrictions were not brought in as an accessibility measure but instead a political one. That's not a good reason. These regulations are not about disabled students.

Procedural to give Sarah extra minute - passes

Maddi - point of clarification: Sarah speaking in capacity as a student and not on behalf of the DSA

Paria (against): There's an idea that ANUSA supports autonomous departments. We value the position of the DSA as elected representatives of dismpus. They've spent a lot of time and emotional labour on that process, we should trust that.

Carter (For): They want to take up this motion on identity politics, members of this union have said they don't support id pol but this is their judgment but just a political view. If we want to talk about harassment, we can talk about things like lanyards support this.

Phi (against): I've been working a lot with both the DSA and many others on this matter. I can't speak for the DSA but we've worked with our collective members at present have been open to workid t-shirts being worn on campus. We're working on the next SGM and what could be achieved there. I'm hearing from a lot of people that not enough work's been done to erode the zones.

Lata (against): I'm also and bring in more people. Removing these measures isn't enough; measures serve accessibility regardless; workshop a solution with disabled students then we should. I would vote up something like that. However, removing exclusion zones entirely does actively harm exclusion zones.

Procedural that the motion be put by Maddi - Fails

Procedural that the motion be put, subject to Nick's right of reply - Passes

Nick (right of reply): we did bring that is discussing and fighting for the way forward which requires basic robust discussion for minorities to actually put their case. Kambri being an exclusion zone is central to democracy on campus.

Motion Fails

Ben passes chair back to Phi

Procedural motion to dissent the chair over the decision to put a motion to the AGM

Ben (chair): Phi made a ruling earlier today, that is now being dissenting. We are moving that the chair's ruling be upheld. If you think Phi;su want it to be upheld you vote for, if you agree with Harry you vote against. 3/5 majority required. Both will speak.

Harry (For): Found out that it couldn't be an amendment and instead they put in a motion straight away. They were told they couldn't put this up. Trages people that those who dont agree with the substance of the motion. The process was unjust and shouldnt be up to gen sec to do this.

Phi (Against): Wants to flag, we have considered amendments like this before.

It was submitted as a motion not an amendment, no advice was given. I try to be flexible re 5 day before limit. UndersGM, could be discussed all year so there;s not enough notice to students as to how their money is being spent.

Ben: No debate on this motion, need 3/5 to pass

Motion Fails

Friendly amendment on notice

The motion section will be rewritten to read:

“Delete numbers 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 from Schedule B list of exclusion zones.

Add ‘The ANUSA office, Brian Kenyon Student Space, and Department autonomous spaces’ to Schedule B list of exclusion zones.”

Motion 5.7: Standing for unemployed people

The Australian Government has recently announced that jobseeker payments will be raised for people over 55 but kept at the same starvation rate for everyone else. Older workers - some of whom are undergraduate students and thus members of ANUSA - do face unjust discrimination and challenges in

the workplace. But so do younger workers and students who the government has predictably abandoned. Rather than thinking of politics as a social safety net and the welfare state.

ANUSA condemns the starvation rate of JobSeeker and the government's consistent cynical tactics of abandoning young people and workers. ANUSA will post to its facebook page to that effect. ANUSA will promote the May 9 le and working people.

Mover: Sarah Strange

Secunder: Aveline

Sarah: This is the last meeting before the may 9 protest. Encourages people coming to this Garema protest at midday. Also protest an hour and a half before on parliament lawns and is hosted by NUS. Enraging that they have raised the jobseeker amounly for over 55 year olds. We stand in solidarity with those over 55, with roblems with our economic system. Media to do that thing with "winners" and "losers". Politics we are about is standing with working people.

Aveline: the working classes and studt has committed to doing nothing about the cost of living crisis. Doing everything from spending billions on submarines and laying groundwork to rip mons and to protest and stand up against the ALP party

No Speakers against

Nick (For): Echoing what has been said by previous speakers. Budget is for the rich. Budget is an attack on people that is going to worsthe rising cost of living. Will affect all oppressed people, working class, students and only benefit the wealth.

Isabella (For): Speaking for, supports motion, excited for protest outside parliament house on budget morning. NUS came up, on cepaigns which support the broader goals of the union. Isabella has tried to work with Xavie (he is paid and she is not, she notes) that she has put the time in and it has not been reciprocated. Grace has been doisusing the union's resources , running parallel campaigns and using the NUS logo to implement that.

Sarah (right of reply): don't let nonsense distract you form the fact everyone will be at the protest. Labor left, salt and probably Labor right (laughter).

Motion 5.8: Fixed ANUSA Election Period

Preamble:

The current process of selecting a date for ANUSA elections is too secretive and inconsistent, giving an advantage to those curret access to the Returning Officer. Moving towards a more transparent process with a fixed period in which elections can occur will make our elections fairer and more consistent each year.

The four-week period that I have chosen in which elections could occur (Week 8-11 of the Second Semester) is, I believe, the most specific week due to the reality that the Returning Officer may be unavailable on certain weeks, as well as providing some flexibility in the case of any unforeseen circumstances.

Having fixed elections is as it will be held far in advance so that they can better coordinate when they may choose to begin preparing for elections.

Motion:

A) Amend Section 2.1.1 of the Election Regulations to read

“The Annual Elections of the Association must be conducted over four consecutive Teaching Days from a period beginning in week 8 and ending in week 11 of the Second Semester. This must include at least 75 hours of online polling.”

Moved: Harry Danton-Jack

Seconded: Lara Johnson

Harry: Hope it's not controversial. The way election dates are chosen is up to the whim of the Returning officer and the current elections are more transparent and democratic, allows for consistency, consistent with state governments, provides greater certainty to wider student body. Federal government does not have fixed elections but should have fixed terms.

Lara: agrees with what Harry says. Generally easier to plan your year, and it's not about individuals coming and people eg RO needing to run IB and do the election at the same time. This is not the case at all, certainly not is what being put forward. More transparency for the election. Creates a routine for students into the future - eg students know when we will be more politically engaged. A system literally run by our governments.

all for conflict of interests here but something that is undeclared. 2) Bad precedent for voting on date of the election. Eg stacking meeting is not unheard of. Someone could stack to get an election date that they want. There are different reasons for different people. Last year as clubs officer was concerned since these dates were straight after FNP.

Isabelle (Question): Still need 2/3rd majority to pass if meetings were stacked out?

Phi (answer): yes it is. High bar but is achievable to be stacked.

No Speakers against

No Speakers For

Harry declines right of reply

Motion passes

Motion 5.9: Social media use during elections

Note: This motion was voted on at OGM1 but is being reconsidered due to a miscount.

Preamble:

In 2020, You! for ANUSA reused their facebook page from 2019 while running for the election, and was allowed to do so by the Probity the followers of the facebook page g was only applied to facebook pages named after tickets specifically, which isn't really consistent with any text in the Regulations or common sense. This motion reverses the 2021 ruling and allows tickets to re-use their facebook pages year to year, without campaigning using those pages before the campaigning period.

Motion:

Add a new subsection 3.2.12D to the Electoral Regulations which states 'For the purposes of Regulation 3.2.12, campaigning outside the Camgn Period

Moved: Sarah Strange

Seconded: Nick Reich

Phi: POC, we miscounted last OGM, we needed a $\frac{2}{3}$ majority to pass, not simple majority

Sarah: Thanks for apologising, we all make mistakes. I make the mistake of coming every day. Interpretation that's really unclean would allow it to be whatever you want, eg resume facebook pages. Have the Not unfairly favouring factions. Only favour because its their democratic right to do so.

Nick: Agrees with Sarah, common sense at are campaigned for each year. Not a radical change, clarifying a rule that lifts more regulations so that there are less regulations making it easier for campaigns.

Q: What is original rationale behind not allowing fb pages from previous campaigns

Phi (answer): There is a rule you can't campaign before period starts. So if facebook pages used year to year, concerns : 1) building followers is campaigning before the period and 2) you could use it during the year

Phi (Against): Favours factionalme a disadvantage for their campaigns. Concerns about what happens to a page if two people run against eacho are consistently candidates.

Isabella (for): Respects Phi's opinion. But realistically, those who follow the page are ideologically aligned with the ticket. It's not going to hijack an independent's campaigning. It's just A form of campaigning. Eg ways of campaigct with people and wage political arguments. No making or breaking campaign. Other inequalities we don't regulate similarly.

Phoebe (against): what sticks out is that it muddies the campaign period beginning. Worries about unclear words/ terminology that may relate to elections and difficult decisions as to whether this is campaigning or not and whether this is before or after the period starts. Becoming messy.

Procedural for Nick to speak again. (Passes)

Nick (for): His understanding is that facebook pages can tick over and continue to exist. To not post before campaigning starts. This is a marginal common sense change, can only actively campaign and make posts during the campaign period. Addresses point about favouring factions. Notes that being an existing office bearer allowsthat are explicitly about people running on tickets than any idle facebook page - and one that is explicitly idle. Very marginal adjustment. Its overblown that it may alternate

Phi (Point of Explanation): Current ANUSA officebearers have to sign an agreement to curb their social media use around the time of the elections.

Paria (against): The point is not marginal. It's harder to gain followers starting from zero rather than starting with 100 likes. The idea something arent regulated and this is regulate doesnt make sense, we should make it more fair.

Q: Can you post campaigning things during the year?

A: no campaigning things but other things possibly yes.

Yerrin (For): Absurd that we are talking about facebook likes for a student elections. Talking about a coouple of people that maybe agree with the politics of the ticket and its absurd that this is being brought up and debated rigorously.

Q: How are interpretations made without returning officer to enforce rules

A: There is no way to enforce rules, we could bring in returning officer to enforce rules if it becomes necessary

Current SAlt ANU page has been challenged for endorsing the running page. Problem already exists.

Maddi (against): As someone who doesn't know much about people, have seen names before and therefore would vote because the name has been seen before. The facebook is significant enough to consider it.

Procedural for Isbaella to speak again (Passed)

Isabella: People seem to be scared of this as a factional thing. There is a lot of balancing things for individuals. Eg lists of things for anusa or people who are in clubs or those who work that are interested. They work and don't have connected but are invested or have a connexion to student politics. Balances this, but this is also only a small change.

Phi procedural to speak again (pass)

Phi: endorsements are an entirely separate issue. People who may struggle to have social connections but won't have time to use facebook all year around anyway.

No Further Speakers For

No Further Speakers Against

Sarah (right of reply): Should go one way rather than to regulate. It's better to deregulate than hand the minutia to the probity officers instead. She was a probity officer twice and didn't know what to do in those cases. We should go one way or another. If this doesn't pass, will move the other way at the next SGM

Move to vote

24 For, 12 Against. Quorum not held, revote in 5 minutes

5min to regain quorum

Ben: if numbers don't add to 40, will declare the meeting inquorate

Return to vote again, 25 in favour, 15 against (62.5%)

Motion fails

Motion 5.10:

Motion:

Amend Schedule B to the Elections to read:

Section 3.1.3 provides that it is an offence to actively canvass votes within the areas specified in Schedule B to these Regulations.

For the avoidance of doubt, the following areas are 'exclusion zones':

1. Kambri Precinct (bound including the ramp and stairwell to Kingsley Street)
2. A 15 metre radius of entry ways to residential halls
3. The Small footpath next to University Avenue between the Copland Courtyard and Kambri

4. Tjabal Ceutorials, labs or other teaching takes place, including online spaces
5. Inside David Cocking sport and recreation centre

In addition, no campaigning other than putting up posters may take place inside any other building on the ANU campus.

Campaigners are permitted to campaign in all other areas.

For the avoidance of doubt, the Returning Officer or their nominee will clarify exclusion zones before the beginning of

the Campaign Period. This include but is not limited to a map of exclusion zones.

The Returning Officer or their nominee may temporarily suspend an area so debates or other informative events may be held.

Moved:

Seconded:

Item 6: Other Business

None

Item 7: Meeting Close

Closed: 834pm

Expected Close of Meeting: 10:00pm

Released: 28 April 2023 by Phoenix O'Neill

The next general meeting of ANUSA is OGM2 2022 – the time and date are TBC

Reference A **ANUSA President's Report**

Annual General Meeting 2023

Summary

- ANUSA stands in a better position than we have been at any time since before the abolition of ainty from remain an employer of choice with staff retention that far exceeds the community sector. We offer progressive and generous employment conditions that cohere with our values.
- As activists and advocates, we continue to build ANUSA's strength and activist capacity. We remain amunions in the country and have disproportionately high impact for our size and structure.
- Our services are over-utilised with remarkably high satisfaction ratings and strong cohort diversity vices and the staff who run them are recognised in the ACT community sector as professional and innovative.
- Our representative functind ANUSA is recognised across the University as the peak representative body. We have maintained strong representation across University committees without sacrificing our political and institutional independence.

Financial and Institutional Position

- ANUSA has secured an extra \$1 million in order to provide postgraduate services an
- There are also pools of SSAF funding that have not yet been spent that we will be able to bid fceed \$3 million.
- We are developing two commercial exercises at good pace: the Night Cafe and vending machines across campus. We are also engaged in activities like providing the bar forrovides revenue (albeit limited) and assists in promoting campus life.
- We intend to expand into the space that is currently PARSA's office. The discussions to s to know if other reps have thoughts/needs that they want accommodated. We will do our best!
- As part of the expansion to include postgraduates, we will undertake a gover. The process will broadly be that we will develop terms of reference, stakeholders, including SRC members, will be consulted by consultants we appoint, the consultants will provide recommendations that we will consider implementing.

Activism and Advocacy

- As we take on postgraduate representation, we need to fill a number of postgraduate positionsduate students, including HDR students. For example, I've already had great discussions with an HDR student about SASH in the

HDR space and the deep complexities that attach to the fact the PhD students typically exist as both students and staff members.

- A key objective in the activist space needs to be improving ANUSA's ability to quickly mobilise something that I think is improving.

Services

- The ANUSA Legal Service is going from strength to strength. They are operating on an avther lawyer, our ability to assist students with more complex matters will grow.
- The ANUSA Student Assistance Team are a highly respected team within the University and ths to rethat we make clear-eyed decisions as to whether a grant is always the most effective form of support.
- Our social program will scale over the winter break. We have historically relied on clubs and ngagement with clubs and societies. This will largely be staff led and I'm looking forward to clarifying the pathways reps can use to seek staff assistance with event organising.
- The Shut Up alopment Office from July.
- The SEEF grants program will be operated by ANUSA from July.

Representation

- Our SRC will grow quite significantly with the arrival of postgraduate reps.
- We should be prepared that soolleges have very small numbers of HDR students). This may mean wsent student interests across the University, this is acceptable.

Future outlook and risks

- The postgraduate expansion is a risk as much as it is an opportunity. If we fail to connect with owill have failed to genuinely become their studot the exact cohort balance yielded by the annual election this year. It is trust and engagement.
- Equally, while a three year SSAF agreement provides stability, it will only be as good as the funding we win. We should be strong in our negotiating position.

Ben Yates
ANUSA President
3 May 2023

Treasurer Report AGM

Katrina Ha

Executive Summary

- 1. Expenditure Report**
- 2. Audited Financial Statement**
- 3. ANUSA's Financial Position as of 30th November 2022**
- 4. Honoraria Nominations**
- 5. Timesheet**

1. Expenditure Report

Please find the attached ANUSA's profit and loss statement starting from 1st December. This statement and second SSAF instalment are included even though we only got our first instalment only. If you have any questions about these line items or about the statement in general, feel free to ask.

Profit and Loss

The Australian National University Students' Association Incorporated

For the period 1 December 2022 to 27 April 2023

| Account | 1 Dec 2022-27 Apr 2023 | 1 Dec 2021-27 Apr 2022 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Trading Income | | |
| Other Grant Funding | 5,000.00 | 32,172.00 |
| O-Week Income | 39,645.00 | 17,347.73 |
| SSAF Allocation | 1,306,941.30 | 1,232,000.00 |
| Ticket/Event Sales - O Week | 29,923.16 | 5,347.00 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total Trading Income | 1,381,509.46 | 1,286,866.73 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|

| | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Gross Profit | 1,381,509.46 | 1,286,866.73 |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|

Other Income

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Bus hire revenue | 72.73 | 454.55 |
| Interest Income | 6,288.56 | 885.17 |
| Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income | 1,143.58 | 20,380.00 |
| Total Other Income | 7,504.87 | 21,719.72 |

Operating Expenses

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Accounting/Bookkeeping - Xero | 603.40 | 549.74 |
| Auditing | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| Bank Fees with GST | 125.21 | 154.28 |
| Bank Fees without GST | 389.44 | 279.38 |
| BKSS - Asset purchases | 0.00 | 499.09 |
| BKSS Food/Consumables | 23,125.22 | 19,117.64 |
| BKSS Non-food | 2,514.54 | 15,164.61 |
| Bus expenses | 3,087.05 | 4,521.63 |
| Cleaning | 0.00 | (6,623.18) |
| Club Funding | 55,249.85 | 22,973.80 |
| Committee projects - General | 30.55 | 94.95 |
| Department - Honoraria | 0.00 | (250.00) |
| Department - Stipends | 54,849.08 | 25,083.55 |
| Department - Superannuation | 5,759.20 | 4,314.79 |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Departments & Collectives | 60,876.80 | 66,683.12 |
| Education Committee | 3,969.11 | 304.17 |
| Equipment Expense | 402.73 | 860.64 |
| Events Non-SSAF | 5,009.27 | 0.00 |
| Fees & Subscriptions | 31,171.11 | 43,087.82 |
| IT Support & Equipment | 0.00 | 216.69 |
| Leadership and Professional Development | 16,003.92 | 15,662.99 |
| Legal Expenses | 3,661.33 | 3,726.05 |
| Marketing & Communications - Advertising | 197.82 | 12.00 |
| Marketing & Communications - Diary | 4,690.00 | 2,850.00 |
| Marketing & Communications - Printing | 0.00 | 1,482.32 |
| Marketing & Communications - Software Subs | 199.00 | 199.00 |
| Meeting Expenses | 396.79 | 1,343.30 |
| Membership Solutions Limited | 0.00 | 1,571.29 |
| NUS | 0.00 | 10,000.00 |
| Other Employee Expense | 8,736.45 | 3,140.52 |
| Other Events | 171.82 | 0.00 |
| O-Week Events | 86,218.48 | 40,090.57 |
| O-Week Food purchases | 71.51 | 479.63 |
| O-Week General expenses | 0.00 | 1,169.43 |
| O-Week Merchandise | 5,327.18 | 8,640.00 |
| Parenting Room | 1,431.27 | 0.00 |
| Printer | 177.48 | 99.83 |
| Salaries and Wages | 369,254.17 | 321,240.90 |
| Salaries and Wages - ANUSA Exec | 83,455.40 | 70,931.12 |
| Salaries and Wages - BKSS | 24,278.02 | 23,351.07 |
| Salaries and Wages - Event Coordinators | 13,196.57 | 12,868.49 |
| SAT Purchases - Grocery Vouchers | 0.00 | 16,079.90 |
| SAT Purchases - Student Meals & Others | 8,973.00 | 1,145.82 |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Staff Amenities | 44.61 | 112.07 |
| Stationery/General Supplies/Postage | 1,129.08 | 3,075.16 |
| Student Assistance Team Grants | 22,762.18 | 90,690.00 |
| Student Engagement | 655.94 | 12,552.31 |
| Superannuation Expense | 60,789.79 | 57,560.21 |
| Superannuation Expense - ANUSA Exec | 8,762.67 | 2,911.69 |
| Superannuation Expense - BKSS | 2,666.66 | 738.98 |
| Superannuation Expense - Event Coordinators | 1,451.91 | 647.35 |
| Utilities | 1,184.90 | 550.31 |
| Workers Compensation Insurance | 0.00 | 8,872.38 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 973,077.78 | 910,854.68 |
| | | |
| Net Profit | 415,936.55 | 397,731.77 |

Table 1

2. Audited Financial Statement

Please see the attached Reference C. I highly recommend all students to check the audited financial statement. If you have any questions about the statement, I'm always happy to answer!

3. ANUSA's Financial Position as of 30th November 2022

4. Honoraria Nominations

5. Timesheet

From 1/12/2022 to 28/04/2023, I've worked 285.25 hours. For the detailed breakdown, please email me at sa.treasurer@anu.edu.au.

Reference C

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1TjMi3x8iORZgTHebcnKZrIkzUcWAgAhb/view>