



## **AGENDA – ANUSA ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING (OGM) 2 2024**

Wednesday, 8 August 2024

6:15pm, HA GO53, Zoom

<https://anu.zoom.us/j/83860025809?pwd=mCboLenpelJNOwi7kMNAv0MkZxw1KP.1>

### **Item 1: Meeting Opens and Apologies**

1.1 Acknowledgement of Country

1.2 Apologies

1.3 Chair outlines standing orders for the meeting (please also see the following:

<https://anusa.com.au/pageassets/about/meetings/ANUSA-Standing-Orders-Guide.pdf>)

### **Item 2: Passing the previous meetings minutes**

2.1  MINUTES of OGM 1

Mover: Sam Gorrie

Second: Phoenix O'Neill

Motion is carried.

### **Item 3: Reports**

#### 3.1 Treasurer's report (W. Burfoot) [Reference A]

Will: Reporting on procurements at this OGM for anything >\$5000, with 3 approvals since last general meeting. All are over \$20,000 and include three purchases: workers compensation, MSL and NUS affiliation. Second semester is where ANUSA became a union for both postgrads and undergrads, so now comparisons to last year's budget are more accurate

Harry: Consultancy and legal expenses are around \$50,000. Why and what is part of this?

Will: Combined what was previously two lines of "consultancy" and "legal expenses", which had crossover between legal expenses within the consultancy line, and further expenses include consultancy with the governance review and regarding the Night Cafe.

Moved: Harriet Ryder

Second: Skye

### **Item 4: Motions on notice**

#### **Motion 4.1: ANUSA Budget 2024**

The meeting approves the budget in reference B.

Mover: Will Burfoot

Second: Phoenix O'Neill

Will: The amount of money we budget is different to what we actually spend. We receive a SSAF allocation at the start of the year, and try to reduce expenditure through the year. The big number is 3.296million dollars, and gross operating expenditure has reduced. The budget is looking good. Also: we take our previous budget and look at expenditure in those areas.

Phoenix: Thanks Will for work on the budget.

Motion Carried

## 4.2 Shorter Meetings

### Preamble

Speaking only for myself, I am skeptical of many manifestations of so-called 'identity politics' (to be clear, I also think areas of discrimination like racism, sexism and homophobia are still much much bigger problems in society today). But I completely reject the idea that making institutions easier for disabled people, as well as everyone else, is cynical identity politics. It's actually a moral necessity. It's also a political one, because our debates are better when more people participate in them, and students are represented better when their elected representatives are actually participating in the process.

### Motion

Amend the Standing Orders by adding a new subsection 5.2 to read "New agenda items shall not be discussed after 10PM. New speeches shall not be made after that time, but existing speeches may be finished and agenda items under consideration may be voted on. This time can be extended by vote of 2/3rds of the meeting and should be extended if the meeting started substantially late."

Mover: Sarah Strange

Second: Griffin

Sarah: this may cut off debate, and I understand that there will be impacts. However, it's more important to improve participation. We're struggling to reach quorum, but a lot of people are reluctant to participate in this union and we need to fix it. There are a lot of people - elected SRC members - who come once and realize it's rubbish [sic] and go home. Finally, I am suggesting

Griffin: as co-officer of the DSA, I would like to second accessibility. These meetings can be long and overwhelming, and I've heard of people warn others of coming here. It's not a good vibe.

amendment to 4.2: add to motion text

Amend standing orders Schedule 1 to read:

ITEM 1: MEETING OPENS, APOLOGIES AND DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

ITEM 2: MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING INCLUDING MATTERS ARISING

ITEM 3: ELECTIONS (IF REQUIRED)

ITEM 4: NOTICES OF MOTIONS

ITEM 5: ITEMS FOR RESOLUTION

ITEM 6: ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

ITEM 7: REPORTS AND MATTERS ARISING

ITEM 8: OTHER BUSINESS

ITEM 9: DATE OF NEXT MEETING AND CLOSE

Mover: Carter

Carter: I want to prioritise things that people are about through this amendment. [Goes on to list examples of successful very long general meetings]. These meetings aren't extended for too long, but the politics are the most important part of this meeting.

Nic (not Reich, for): I'm worried about the capacity to filibuster now, and I think this is anti-democratic.

Sarah (for): people sometimes leave halfway during a break, but the reports are the less important thing compared to motion.

Lea (POC): What is stance of SAIt on motion is amended?

Member responds: will vote against anyways.

Sam (Against): I reject the idea that reports are less useful than motions. They hold exec to account. But also, this doesn't incentivise people, but you just lose the part of the report when they get cut off at 10. Finally, SRC is when all students should have the capacity to come to; students should have the right to come, and it's important to safeguard this right as for some it's inaccessible.

Nick (For): Everything is important! Both motions and reports. Also, people who run for this position have to recognize the responsibilities this roles required, and they should be accountable to these requirements. It sets a dangerous precedent when this whole motion gets passed.

Keira (Against): This fosters a poor culture of making sacrifices as a full-time student and this is inaccessible. The majority of what we do, and these reports hold us to account.

Phi proposes procedural to put amendment immediately to a vote.  
Procedural passes.

Amendment put to vote.

Amendment fails.

## Amendment 2

“At 10PM there is an automatic vote to either end or extend the meeting time. The vote is by majority. If this vote is to extend the meeting, the meeting continues and an identical vote will take place one hour later. If the vote is to end the meeting then new agenda items shall not be discussed, and new speeches shall not be made, but existing speeches may be finished and agenda items under consideration may be voted on.”

Mover: Skye

Secunder:

Amendment is taken as friendly.

## **Debate moves to motion as a whole**

Phi (against): I think Freedom of Speech is really important, and there are valid reasons to have SRC's are really important.

Lata (For): It's important to have safeguards still in place. We want to have a way to cut it short, and 10PM is a good safeguard. I'm hopeful that we can get more motions to comply with a 10pm cutoff.

Non-SRC member (Against): People debate important political questions. This is abdicating responsibility, including to have important but long political debates. This sidelines what political people should be doing - debates, and having space to debate things that students care about, such as the motion on solidarity with West Papua. Political debate is vital.

Jemma (For): participation, safety, and accessibility have been made. Furthermore, blanket order are best for people who aren't acquainted with ANUSA. People who are most familiar with ANUSA are the rules around these standing orders. Flyers with standing orders aren't handed out anymore, and standing orders can be confusing.

Non-SRC member (against): Exec that are paid want to stay until 10pm, and exec having a nice rest is less important than having proper debates given they're paid for it. In terms of bringing in new students, we should do things that matter to people - things like anti-apartheid struggles, etc.

Keira (For): I don't get paid, and neither does Jade. We're doing this because we care, and I'm willing to bet that half the people who voted me in wouldn't want me to have my head in my hands past 10pm. Exec aren't get paid that much. You should have a work-life balance.

Charlotte (Against): I'm paid to be here, which is more than most people here can say. Concern about accessibility is fair but 1. You can move to end the meeting early, and 2. You can leave a join on Zoom. This is more feasible.

Sarah (RoR): We can't blame people for not showing up if expectations are unreasonable.

Motion put to a vote. Fails to two-third majority.

Procedural break until 8pm carries.

After the break, people move until quorum is reached.

4.3

### **Motion: Clubs Regulations**

Calls upon the General Meeting to approve changes to the Clubs Regulations in link below:  
CLUBS REGULATIONS - draft amendments.docx

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/10Ha5pw\\_dZogtxTz8mjbidfPX-kzE73el/edit?usp=sharing&oid=104127557932074334205&rtpof=true&sd=true](https://docs.google.com/document/d/10Ha5pw_dZogtxTz8mjbidfPX-kzE73el/edit?usp=sharing&oid=104127557932074334205&rtpof=true&sd=true)

Mover: Seungbin

Secunder: Phoenix

Contacts:

- Seungbin: [sa.clubsofficer@anu.edu.au](mailto:sa.clubsofficer@anu.edu.au)

Seungbin: These are important changes to help improve the quality of life for clubs, and these are important reforms to help improve clubs.

Phi: This is a really important sweep of club changes; I commend Seungbin for hard work. A lot of these have been drafted and is a long-term project. E.g. the submission dates for grants is no longer the exam period.

Carter (against): There are things that I don't like here. I don't like some of the anti-discrimination clauses that are discriminating against white people, or have pro-Palestine speech be ruled anti-semitic.

Skye (POI): what are your concerns about the club regulations?

Carter: the parts most applicable to be used in a negative way [missed]

Sarah: There are technicalities under the Human Rights Act

Harry: Not everyone agrees. Seungbin has put in time, effort into this, and it would be demotivating and disrespectful to vote it down.

Nick (against): We should engage seriously in debate given the work that he has put in

Sam MacRae procedural straight to a vote.

Carried.

Seungbin: keeps this as a running thing. Further conversations regarding wording, and ATO changes. Appeals process beyond just chosen by the clubs processes to give them chance for appeals.

Motion is put to a vote. Motion is carried.

4.4

### **The ANU's two faces: an SRI review on one side and their new Defense Institute on the other.**

#### Preamble

The ANU has recently announced the creation of a new 'Defense Institute'. In their own words,

“The Institute provides a ‘front door’ to direct enquiries and serves as a hub for fostering collaboration and partnerships between the ANU, the Defence sector and industry leaders.”

<https://defenceinstitute.anu.edu.au/>

These 'industry leaders' they mention? Weapons companies like Boeing, BAE Systems, Thales, and Lockheed Martin, whose weapons are being used to commit genocide against the Palestinians. To be precise, Thales produces drones that are used to surveil and target Palestinians, Boeing provides the IDF with the bombs that are dropped on Gaza, Lockheed Martin manufactures the F-35 and F-16 fighter jets being used in the bombing campaign and BAE Systems supplies various munitions as well as the white phosphorus which has been used to maim and murder people in Gaza.

The ANU's \$1 million of direct investments into these companies is only the tip of the iceberg. The research partnerships that our university undertakes with these companies is the main game. The establishment of the Defense Institute is an explicit move to expand and deepen these partnerships. These weapons companies need research resources - research technology and people who can carry out this research - to advance and improve the destructive capabilities of their products, and the ANU is more than happy to lend them a helping hand.

Again, in the ANU's own words,

“The ANU Defence Institute will facilitate a closer working relationship between researchers and educators at the University and Defence, allowing organisations to tap into expert knowledge across a range of disciplines at the ANU.”

<https://www.anu.edu.au/research/research-innovation-news/anu-announces-new-defence-institute>

The announcement coincided with the ANU launching a review into their socially responsible investment (SRI) portfolio. Any attempts by the ANU to convince students that they are taking feedback on their investments in weapons companies seriously are farcical in a context where the university is so enthusiastically throwing more resources into these companies. Even if the university were to concede on cutting a few direct investments, it would leave the vast bulk of their ties - the research partnerships - unsevered.

### Motions

ANUSA acknowledges that university-led review processes will not convince the ANU to cut any significant ties to weapons companies that are complicit in the genocide in Gaza

ANUSA adopts the orientation of mobilising pro-Palestine students and building protests against the ANU's ties to genocide as the only strategy which can push the Palestine movement forward on campus.

Mover: Carter Chryse

Seconder: Elle

Carter cedes moving rights to Tamsin

Tamsin: Speaks to how the SRI is a PR stunt. Even when people submit ideas to this, cutting of ties with weapons companies takes lots of action against the ANU's current behaviour, as it would mean economic costs to the ANU. It will take a lot, and submissions to SRI is not enough.

Elle: The ANU is dedicated to ties, position in the Australian economy as part of this project with the weapons companies. These weapons investments are in Boeing, Northrop Grumman, and they have refused to diversify. New defence institute is deepening these ties, it's a PR stunt. The university is not our friend and we can't treat them as such must mobilise against them with the student body.

Quorum check. Failed. 5 minute time



#### **4.5 ANUSA Endorses Penny Wong Protest**

Penny Wong has been invited by the ANU to once again give a lecture on campus on August 19th. Students are protesting against this speaking engagement.

In press release after press release, Minister Wong has never admitted Israel's guilt in the genocide in Gaza. At best she mentions a humanitarian crisis. She has continued to call Australia a strident supporter of Israel. She has continually supported Israel's "right to defend itself", a total mischaracterisation of the slaughter of largely civilian targets in Gaza.

It reveals the priorities of the ANU that they have invited Penny Wong to speak twice during a period in which she has overseen a government policy of support for a genocide. She should not be warmly welcomed by students on this campus. It is the responsibility of ANUSA to mobilise students to show Minister Wong and the ANU that her pro-Israel actions as foreign minister are not accepted.

This protest serves the movement for a free Palestine in continuing to express pro-Palestine sentiment to the broader community and to expose the deplorable behaviour of Minister Wong in her office as foreign minister. ANUSA must support this action as a body committed to the freedom of the Palestinian people.

#### **Motions**

- ANUSA endorses the protest against Penny Wong at Llewelyn Hall on August 19th 6:30pm
- ANUSA commits to promoting this rally to students through emails, social media and any other means available
- ANUSA will provide support to the protest organisers on the day of the protest by providing megaphones, marshals and a PA if required

Mover: Nick Reich

Seconder:

#### 4.6 Referendum

In pursuance of this policy and section 13(3)(b) of the ANUSA Constitution, the meeting instructs the Executive to implement a referendum concurrently with the 2024 ANUSA Elections on the following question:

“Do you think ANU should cut all financial and research ties with the State of Israel, including the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, all investments in weapons companies, and the Australian Signals Directorate?

Yes

No”

Mover: Harriet Ryder

Seconder: Skye Predavec

Amendment: (friendly)

Replace the text of the question to “Do you think ANU should withdraw all current investments in the weapons industry and make no further investments into the weapons industry?

Mover: Phoenix

Seconder:

Harriet: The amendment is friendly, since the general student body needs to understand the question. This is a chance to tell the university what students want by surveying the entire population. Harriet stands by the initial demands, but happy to include amendment to give powerful voice to ANU if this is something that passes. We can show that by divesting in weapons will make the university better, so we want a motion and also amendment to succeed. Students will have clear reason to vote in favour of referendum, and a very good opportunity for activism.

Skye: Have had divestment from fossil fuel referendums in past. These put pressure on the university to show the university a clear answer to the question regarding what ANU student body things of divestment. Speaks to the success of the SRI following the fossil fuel divestment Referendums.

Tamzin (against): I think there are better ways to build student activism on campus. It is more important for students to build protests. The SGM (special general meetings) can achieve the same thing. Secondly, there wouldn't be that much reach because it's occurring concurrently with political elections, and election voter turnout is usually less than 10%. A referendum is splitting our resources between multiple projects.

Phi (for): Doesn't think SGM and referendum as mutually exclusive. Responds to idea few people vote by saying that even fewer come to the general meetings, saying that even the biggest in history was smaller than the usual voter turnout. 90% vote for fossil fuel divestment was a really powerful moment for helping to divest. Should do both.

Yerin (against): SGM would be more participatory for students and this would be better. Referendum against fossil fuels failed to get long-term change, when the ANU reversed their changes and invested in fossil fuels again. SGM would be good since people are in room

together, voting together in the movement. UQ and USyd have had successful instances of SGMs. Referendum has taken resources away from SGM, that you or those from the encampment have not supported the SGM so far.

Procedural to let Harriet speak:  
Passes

Harriet: You should not claim that you can only have one source of activism on the SGM. It's important to use multiple ways to pressure the ANU. The referendum doesn't take away from the SGM, and we are debating the referendum now.

Nick (against): We are frustrated because we are trying to get SGM signatures and mobilise people. We think SGM is an important way to direct resources, as part of mobilising people and being apart of a national campaign. There has been passive disinterest in other means to affect change. Furthermore, a referendum is like the SRI - students respond with a yes/no and it doesn't achieve much. We need to unite all layers of student politics in this support.

Lea (for): We don't have to limit ourselves to fighting only on one front, and having multiple avenues to pressure the university is a good thing, not bad. There are political divisions within the campus right now. This referendum gives another chance for political engagement. Speaks to all being in this together, and getting involved in parts of the campaign and then work on other parts.

Carter (For): In UQ, 1500 people. Only activism is effective, and we need more activism. We want you to build the SGM because it's going to happen, we have 400 signatures. This is more than some election votes! For example, gen rep elections have only around 70 votes [sic].

Alex (For): Better for 2 reasons: more pressure and more ways to impose pressure. You show that there is broader support by students at ANU. Resources are not finite - you create more political capital for change. Should be supporting the whole movement and not being specific in blame.

Avelyn (Against): SGM is next week, says others have not been there trying to promote this. Where have you been? [directed at people opposite] The thing that motivates people to participate more in protests and activism is protests, not passively ticking a box.

Phi moves a procedural to move immediately to right of reply. The procedural passes.

Harriet (RoR): I think it's strange for speakers opposite to say I haven't done enough. [Repeats main points made in proposal speech]

[Reference A]

## **OGM 2 Treasurer report**

### **Reporting of Executive approvals on procurements**

As per the financial policy framework I passed last semester the Treasurer is now obligated to report to general meetings of the Association when the executive provides approval for procurements above \$5000. To this end we have had three such approvals given, they are;

\$26,591.18 - Workers compensation insurance premium

\$23,210 - MSL subscription fee

\$36,718 - NUS affiliation fee

## Expenditure report

The Australian National University Students' Association Incorporated

For the period 1 December 2023 to 7 August 2024

Account	1 Dec 2023-7 Aug 2024	1 Dec 2022-7 Aug 2023
<b>SSAF Expenses</b>		
Accounting/Bookkeeping - Xero	1,285.21	1,086.12
Auditing	11,677.27	10,677.27
Bank Fees with GST	292.23	250.03
Bank Fees without GST	951.04	669.21
BKSS Food/Consumables	42,009.82	45,556.02
BKSS Non-food	6,982.42	3,712.72
Motor Vehicle expenses	14,391.92	8,001.20
Bush Week - Events	21,071.38	15,998.83
Bush Week Merchandise	2,467.52	0.00
Club Funding	93,953.17	101,505.67
Clubs Council Grants Committee	215.44	0.00
College Representatives	202.43	0.00
Committee projects - General	0.00	30.55
Departments & Collectives	69,711.61	73,267.35
Education Committee	5,238.72	4,113.69
Equipment Expense	(613.45)	1,144.86
Fees & Subscriptions	34,150.39	57,430.93
Friday Night Party Expenses	0.00	490.91
Honoraria	1,775.00	3,670.00

IT Support & Equipment	2,547.30	2,023.32
Leadership and Professional Development	18,847.66	20,929.78
Legal Expenses & Consultancy	30,256.08	15,685.85
Marketing & Communications - Advertising	149.99	905.98
Marketing & Communications - Diary	0.00	4,690.00
Marketing & Communications - Printing	1,538.37	9,838.13
Marketing & Communications - Software Subs	508.61	199.00
Meeting Expenses	109.09	611.44
Membership Solutions Limited	23,210.00	0.00
NUS	0.00	41,600.00
Other Employee Expense	9,004.37	10,463.33
Other Events	0.00	3,224.85
O-Week Events	56,306.88	86,673.02
O-Week Food purchases	0.00	71.51
O-Week Merchandise	0.00	5,327.18
Parenting Room	0.00	1,462.33
Printer	698.72	159.65
Department - Honoraria	1,395.00	3,470.00
Department - Stipends	107,847.80	101,570.48
Department - Superannuation	11,911.20	11,035.19
Salaries and Wages	911,437.06	645,456.74
Salaries and Wages - ANUSA Exec & Officers	162,530.44	135,042.39
Salaries and Wages - BKSS	44,871.89	44,229.61
Salaries and Wages - Event Coordinators	24,944.18	23,110.14
Superannuation Expense	147,287.81	105,891.26
Superannuation Expense - ANUSA Exec & Officers	17,655.09	14,001.83
Superannuation Expense - BKSS	4,724.12	5,065.23
Superannuation Expense - Event Coordinators	3,374.21	2,451.69
SAT Purchases - Grocery Vouchers	4,750.00	20,825.00
SAT Purchases - Student Meals & Others	28,835.03	5,631.30
Student Assistance Team Grants	62,438.95	40,423.08
Skill Up	4,940.00	0.00

Staff Amenities	339.90	751.42
Stationery/General Supplies/Postage	1,897.55	1,490.41
Student Engagement	5,264.58	8,112.54
Utilities	2,274.61	2,411.55
Workers Compensation Insurance	24,173.80	33,004.38
<b>Total SSAF Expenses</b>	<b>2,021,832.41</b>	<b>1,735,444.97</b>

<b>SSAF Surplus/ Deficits</b>	<b>1,238,802.56</b>	<b>(428,503.67)</b>
-------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

### Other Income

Bus hire revenue	1,095.00	72.73
Interest Income	684.04	18,374.07
Miscellaneous (Sundry) Income	7,936.56	23,272.64
Bush-Week Income	10,040.39	9,485.00
Other Grant Funding	850.00	5,000.00
O-Week Income	32,415.91	34,718.56
Ticket/Event Sales - Bush Week	0.00	305.91
Ticket/Event Sales - O Week	842.79	29,923.16
Ticket/Event Sales - Others	0.00	6,059.77
<b>Total Other Income</b>	<b>53,864.69</b>	<b>127,211.84</b>

### Other Expenses

<b>Non SSAF Expenses</b>		
Events Non-SSAF	0.00	5,498.00
<b>Total Non SSAF Expenses</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5,498.00</b>
Other Student Grants	10,810.00	0.00
Social Profolio	3,512.27	0.00
Birth Control Subsidy	15,198.07	0.00
SEEF Grants	39,955.36	6,500.00
Parents and Carers	1,100.61	0.00
Other Administration Fee	0.00	443.00
Shut Up and Write program	6,018.20	990.91
<b>Total Other Expenses</b>	<b>76,594.51</b>	<b>13,431.91</b>

---

<b>Net Profit</b>	<b>1,216,072.74</b>	<b>(314,723.74)</b>
-------------------	---------------------	---------------------

---

[Reference B]

## OGM 2 Budget

Introduction:

This document serves as the basis for expenditure for the ANU Students Association for 2024. This budget will be updated at subsequent Ordinary General Meetings of the association to allow movements in funding.

Section 22 of the ANUSA constitution outlines for the process for approval of the budget.

### **Budget (2)**

*The budget of the Association must be presented by the Treasurer to the first General Meeting held in the first Teaching Period of the Academic Year.*

*(3) The provisional budget of the Association for the next Financial Year must be presented by the Treasurer, or the incoming Treasurer as the Treasurer sees fit, at a General Meeting held in the fourth Teaching Period of the Academic Year.*

*(4) The budget or provisional Budget may only be amended by:*

*(a) a simple majority of those present and voting at a General Meeting; or (b) a simple majority of those present and voting at a meeting of the SRC, but by no more than one per cent (1%) of the Annual Budget of expected expenses in any calendar month.*

ANUSA is funded primarily by SSAF, Student Services and Amenities Fee, which is allocated by the university in consultation with students through the Student Services Council. As a recipient of SSAF ANUSA must follow relevant legislation governing its use. The ANU has transitioned to a three-year funding agreement for SSAF recipients, replacing one-year agreements which were a significant burden every year to prepare and submit proposals and enter into negotiations with the university. I hope these three-year agreements will free up more time to focus on matters that matter for students and provide greater stability and financial security for our union

As always, if you have any questions, please contact me at [sa.treasurer@anu.edu.au](mailto:sa.treasurer@anu.edu.au), this budget serves as part of my commitment to improve the transparency and accountability of ANUSA so students know how their union operates.



### ***Preparation of the budget***

The budget consists of 37-line items which outline expenditure in certain areas. The sum of these lines provides a gross operating expenditure, which is the amount approved for spending. Note that this gross figure is higher than our 2024 SSAF allocation, but this does not mean we will be in deficit. Instead, we allow expenditure in certain areas greater than our forecasted expenditure to provide flexibility across the budget. This budget will then be updated at OGM's as we go through the year as we get a better idea of how spending is unfolding in different areas. We expect to be in an operating surplus in 2024. Additional funds are raised from the sale of tickets, O-week, Bush week, and interest income.

### **Reserves**

ANUSA maintains considerable financial reserves derived from our non-SSAF income, these provide security and certainty that our operations can continue. Following my financial policy update in semester 1 we are now beginning the process to invest a portion of our reserves.

### **Budget**

#### **Accounting and bookkeeping: \$1800**

This is used for services that allow us to maintain proper financial records

#### **Auditing: \$15,000**

As required in our constitution the treasurer must arrange for an external audit, this line allows for this expenditure.

#### **Bank Fees: \$2000**

#### **BKSS Consumables: \$95,000**

The BKSS is one of the most popular services ANUSA offers students; we continue to see strong demand from students and are consistently looking for ways to utilize funding most effectively.

#### **BKSS non-consumables: \$5000**

This line is for capital expenditure for the BKSS, such as toasters, kettles etc. This line is lower than previous years after considerable investment in the BKSS since 2022.

**Vehicle Expenses: \$14,000**

ANUSA owns a bus and Ute, this covers related expenditures on parking, registration, fuel and insurance which has experienced high inflation this year.

**Bush week: \$20,000**

The Clubs Officer organizes Bush Week each year as a welcome to new students and a return to semester 2. The Association receives income particularly from market day stallholders which offsets the considerable costs of organizing the week.

**Clubs Funding: \$190,000**

ANUSA is responsible for the governance and funding of the many varied clubs on campus. While we have seen club grants pick back up, further increases to clubs funding will need to be joined by regulation changes to meet the demands of clubs and societies.

**Clubs Training/Events: \$20,000**

To ensure our clubs are able to run the Clubs Officer provides training for club executives, this amount also includes the annual Union Ball which is open to all students and serves as a celebration of the many fantastic clubs.

**Representatives Shared funding: \$5000**

This amount is for college representatives and general representatives to run events and campaigns with respect to their portfolios.

**Consultancy and Legal Expenses: \$50,000**

This amount will allow for the governance review taking place this year which will bring our constitution and regulation in line with our new position as the single student union on campus.

**Departments and Collectives: \$123,000**

ANUSA has 7 departments, each headed by a department officer, which provide advocacy and support for their respective groups. This year I redesigned the funding arrangements which allow departments to access up to \$15,000 in general funding, resulting in more departments having access to more funding.

**Education Welfare Action Group: \$9,000**

EWAG is a committee established in the constitution that focuses on political issues affecting students, most of this line has been used by the counter course guide and the rest will go towards supporting various campaigns and protests.

**Equipment: \$10,000**

Cameras, microphones, tables. All things equipment that aid our activities across our entire range of functions.

**Fees and Subscriptions: \$70,000**

Most of this cost is due to the overlap between the use of Qpay and MSL. The transition between these platforms is slow but once completed the functionality of Qpay will provide benefits to most of our operations.

**IT Support/Equipment: \$5,000**

**Staff/Representatives training: \$50,100**

This line includes training and professional development for our staff and representatives. As an example, the executive must have first aid qualifications and AICD management training. Our staff must have modern industry standard training. This line also includes Natcon delegate ticket costs, as well as other conferences ANUSA attends including Sencon

**Marketing and Communications: \$12,000**

Includes merchandise costs, printing costs, software, and general advertising of ANUSA.

**Meeting Expenses: \$3,000**

Last year this was exclusively spent on food before SRC and other meetings, this year because of the change in venue we can now bring the food directly to the SRC.

**National Union of Students: \$40,000**

The NUS is the peak representative body for students in Australia, by affiliating to it ANU students can contribute to, and be represented in national campaign that affect them. The NUS plays a critical role in advocating for policy that has real impacts on students.

**O-Week: \$55,000**

One of the biggest weeks on campus, ANUSA through the Clubs Officer organizes O-week, the largest cost of which is market day. O-week presents a massive opportunity for ANUSA to connect with students as they come to the ANU.

**Employee Expenses: \$20,000**

**Parents and Carers Committee: \$10,000**

This amount will be used by the Parents and Carers Officer and committee to run events and build community. This is the first full year we have budgeted for the Parents and Carers role and so as the year goes on we hope to learn how to better support this group of students.

**Office Supplies: \$7,750**

**Replacement and maintenance: \$3,000**

**Salaries and Wages/Workers compensation Insurance: \$2,269,923**

This amount includes ANUSA honoraria which is set at \$7,500 for the year.

**Student Extra-circular Engagement Fund: \$100,000**

ANUSA has assumed responsibility for SEEF, this amount is administered to support events, conferences, and other programs that benefit students.

**Shut Up and Write Program: \$25,340**

SUW is a program to support Postgraduate students, we have a coordinator who runs these evenings. They represent an important service ANUSA can provide postgrads.

**Social Portfolio: \$20,000**

This amount is used by our events team to deliver events to engage with the postgraduate and HDR communities, as the single student union we are still looking for ways to properly serve and connect with these communities.

**Skill Up: \$10,000**

Skill Up is a fantastic program which provides skills and qualifications to students. We saw high demand for this program last year and look forward to helping those who enter.

**Student Assistance Team – Grants & Purchases: \$181,880**

We have four different grants available for all students: the ANUSA Assistance Grant, ANUSA Medical Grant, ANUSA Carers Grant, and the Accommodation Assistance Program. This covers our transport vouchers and grocery voucher programs, as well as the lunches we provide at the food co-op.

We expect to see significant increased demand for these because of cost-of-living pressures as well as eligibility expansion to all students.

**Student Engagement: \$21,500**

Last year this line allowed for expenditure on the Invasion Day protest, Less-Stresstival, and NatCon travel stipends. Along the same line this budget line allows for the realization of different programs and ideas throughout the year.

**Telephone: \$400**

**Utilities: \$5,000**

Budget Table:

Budget Line	2024 expenditure
Accounting and Bookkeeping	\$1,800.00
Auditing	\$15,000.00
Bank Fees	\$2,000.00
BKSS Consumables	<b>\$95,000.00</b>
BKSS Non-consumables	<b>\$5,000.00</b>
Vehicle Expenses	\$14,000.00
Bush week	\$20,000.00
Clubs funding	<b>\$190,000.00</b>
Clubs training/events	<b>\$20,000.00</b>
<b>Representative project funding</b>	<b>\$5,000.00</b>
Consultancy and Legal Expenses	<b>\$50,000.00</b>
Departments & Collectives	<b>\$123,000.00</b>
Welfare Education Action Group	\$9,000.00
Elections	\$1,000.00
Equipment	\$10,000.00
Fees and Subscriptions	\$70,000.00
IT Support & Equipment	\$5,000.00
Staff/Representatives Training	\$50,100.00
Marketing & Communications	\$12,000.00
Meeting Expenses	\$3,000.00
Nation Union of Students	\$40,000.00
O-week	\$55,000.00
Employee Expenses	\$20,000.00

Parent and Carers portfolio	\$10,000.00
Office supplies	\$7,750.00
Replacement & Maintenance	\$3,000.00
Salaries and Wagers/Workers comp	<b>\$2,269,922.67</b>
<b>Student Extra-curricular Engagement fund</b>	\$100,000.00
Shut up and Write Program	\$25,340.00
Social Portfolio	\$20,000.00
Skill up	\$10,000.00
Student Assistance Team - Grants & Purchases	<b>\$181,880.00</b>
Student Engagement	\$21,500.00
Telephone	\$400.00
Utilites	\$5,000.00
Gross Operating Expenditure	\$3,470,692.67